

Quiz Solutions Outline

Synthesis, Analysis, and Verification 2013

for the quiz given on Friday, May 3rd, 2013



Problem 1: Recursion ([20 points])

Task a) [5 points]

Because the state has three components, relations on states contain tuples $((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \in \mathbb{Z}^6$. Sets of such states are elements of $2^{\mathbb{Z}^6}$. The type of functions mapping such relations to new relations is $E : 2^{\mathbb{Z}^6} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}^6}$.

If ρ maps a command into a relation, then the definition of function E is:

$$E(r_f) = \left(\Delta_{S(y < 0)} \circ \rho(y' = y + 1) \circ r_f \circ \rho(r' = r - x) \right) \cup \\ \Delta_{S(y \geq 0)} \circ \left(\Delta_{S(y \neq 0)} \circ \rho(y' = y - 1) \circ r_f \circ \rho(r' = r + x) \right) \cup \Delta_{S(y=0)}$$

We can also substitute the meaning of assignments $\rho(v = e) \equiv v' = e \wedge_{w \neq v} w' = w$, which gives $E(r_f)$ to be

$$\left(\Delta_{S(y < 0)} \circ \{ \dots | y' = y + 1 \wedge x' = x \wedge r' = r \} \circ r_f \circ \{ \dots | r' = r - x \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \} \right) \cup \\ \Delta_{S(y \geq 0)} \circ \left(\Delta_{S(y \neq 0)} \circ \{ \dots | y' = y - 1 \wedge x' = x \wedge r' = r \} \circ r_f \circ \{ \dots | r' = r + x \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \} \cup \Delta_{S(y=0)} \right)$$

where “...” in the above expression denotes the part of the comprehension $((r, x, y), (r', x', y'))$.

Task b) [10 points] We simplify further the derived definition of $E(r_f)$ using the definition of relation composition and union:

$$E(\sigma) = \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists r_1, x_1, y_1, r_2, x_2, y_2. y < 0 \wedge r_1 = r \wedge x_1 = x \wedge y_1 = y + 1 \wedge \\ r_2 = r_1 + x_1 * y_1 \wedge r' = r_2 - x_2 \wedge y' = y_2 \wedge x' = x_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists r_1, x_1, y_1, r_2, x_2, y_2. y > 0 \wedge r_1 = r \wedge x_1 = x \wedge y_1 = y - 1 \wedge \\ r_2 = r_1 + x_1 * y_1 \wedge r' = r_2 + x_2 \wedge x' = x_2 \wedge y' = y_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid y = 0 \wedge r' = r \wedge y' = y \wedge x' = x \}$$

We next eliminate quantifiers:

$$E(\sigma) = \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists x_2. y < 0 \wedge r' = r + x * (y + 1) - x_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists x_2. y > 0 \wedge r' = r + x * (y - 1) + x_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid y = 0 \wedge r' = r \wedge y' = y \wedge x' = x \}$$

We see that we can pick x_2 arbitrarily, hence if we pick $x_2 \neq x$, $E(\sigma) \subsetneq \sigma$.

Another much simpler solution is:

$$z = ((0, 1, 0), (42, 1, 42)) \in E(\sigma) \quad \text{but not } z \in \sigma$$

Task c) [5 points]

Let $s = \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid r' = r + x * y \wedge x' = x \}$

$$E(s) = \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists r_1, x_1, y_1, r_2, x_2, y_2. y < 0 \wedge r_1 = r \wedge x_1 = x \wedge y_1 = y + 1 \wedge \\ r_2 = r_1 + x_1 * y_1 \wedge x_2 = x_1 \wedge r' = r_2 - x_2 \wedge y' = y_2 \wedge x' = x_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid \exists r_1, x_1, y_1, r_2, x_2, y_2. y > 0 \wedge r_1 = r \wedge x_1 = x \wedge y_1 = y - 1 \wedge \\ r_2 = r_1 + x_1 * y_1 \wedge x_2 = x_1 \wedge r' = r_2 + x_2 \wedge x' = x_2 \wedge y' = y_2 \} \cup \\ \{ ((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid (r' = r \wedge y' = y \wedge x' = x) \}$$

Eliminating quantifiers:

$$E(s) = \{((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid y < 0 \wedge r' = r + x * (y + 1) - x = r + x * y \wedge x' = x\} \cup \\ \{((r, x, y), (r', x', y')) \mid y > 0 \wedge r' = r + x * (y - 1) + x = r + x * y \wedge x' = x\} \cup \\ \{((r, x, y), (r', y')) \mid y = 0 \wedge r' = r + x * y \wedge y' = y \wedge x' = x\}$$

We have thus shown that $E(s) \subseteq s$. Then since we know that the least fixpoint z satisfies $E(z) = z$, we know that $z \subseteq s$, and hence the specification is satisfied.

Problem 2: Transitive closure ([20 points])

Task a) [5 points]

$$\begin{aligned}
 sp(sp(P, r^*), r) &= \{s' | \exists s. s \in sp(P, r^*) \wedge (s, s') \in r\} \\
 &= \{s' | \exists s. s \in \{t' | \exists t. t \in P \wedge (t, t') \in r^*\} \wedge (s, s') \in r\} \\
 &= \{s' | \exists s, t. t \in P \wedge (t, s) \in r^* \wedge (s, s') \in r\} \\
 &= \{s' | \exists t. t \in P \wedge (t, s') \in r^* \circ r\} \\
 &= sp(P, r^+) \subseteq sp(P, r^*) \subseteq S
 \end{aligned}$$

Task b) [10 points] Let us call I_0 the condition that holds after executing r_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_0 = sp(P, x' = 4 * x \wedge y' = x + 3) &= \{(x', y') | \exists x, y. x \geq 0 \wedge y \leq -5 \wedge x' = 4 * x \wedge y' = x + 3\} \\
 &= \{(x', y') | y' \geq 3 \wedge x' \geq 0 \wedge 4y' = x' + 12 \wedge 4|x'\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The formula corresponding to $r_2 \circ r_3$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exists x_1, y_1. x_1 = y \wedge y_1 = x + 1 \wedge x' = y_1 + 1 \wedge y' = x_1 \\
 \Leftrightarrow y' = y \wedge x' = x + 2
 \end{aligned}$$

From the lectures we know that the transitive closure for $x' = x + 2$ is

$$\exists k. k \geq 0 \wedge x' = x + 2 * k \wedge y' = y$$

Then, I_1 is given by $I_1 = I_0 \cup sp(I_0, r^*)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 sp(I_0, r^*) &= \{((x, y), (x', y')) | \exists x, y. y \geq 3 \wedge x \geq 0 \wedge 4|x \wedge 4y = x + 12 \wedge \exists k. k \geq 0 \wedge x' = x + 2 * k \wedge y' = y\} \\
 &= \{((x, y), (x', y')) | \exists x. y' \geq 3 \wedge x \geq 0 \wedge 4|x \wedge 4y' = x + 12 \wedge x' - x \geq 0 \wedge 2|x' - x\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_1 = y \geq 3 \wedge 2|x - (4y - 12) \wedge x \geq 4y - 12$.

We compute I_2 using the strongest precondition again:

$$\begin{aligned}
 sp(I_2, r_4) &= \{(x', y') | \exists x, y. y \geq 3 \wedge 2|x - (4y - 12) \wedge x \geq 4y - 12 \wedge x' = y - x \wedge y' = y\} \\
 &= \{(x', y') | y' \geq 3 \wedge 2|-3y' - x' + 12 \wedge -x' \geq 3y' - 12\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_2 = y \geq 3 \wedge 2|-3y - x + 12 \wedge -x \geq 3y - 12$

Task c) [5 points]

- r_2 and r_3 are difference bounds relations, for which we know from the lectures the transitive closure is expressible in Presburger arithmetic.
- Then, Presburger arithmetic admits quantifier elimination which allows us to obtain quantifier-free expressions.

Problem 3: Hoare logic ([20 points])

Task a) [4 points]

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i, j, v, w. (i, v) \in L \wedge (j, w) \in L \rightarrow v = w \\ \forall i, v. (i, v) \in L \rightarrow i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Task b) [4 points] $k = 0 \wedge S = S_0 \wedge L = \emptyset \wedge \forall v. v \in S \rightarrow v \geq 0$

Task c) [12 points] Invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} A : \forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow (v \in S \vee \exists i. (i, v) \in L) \\ B : \forall i, j. (i, v) \in L \wedge (j, w) \in L \wedge i < j \rightarrow v < w < k \end{aligned}$$

- i) Before the loop, we have $L = \emptyset$ so that condition B holds trivially and condition A reduces to $\forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow v \in S_0$ which also trivially holds.
- ii) Now we need to show that invariant is inductive. That is we need to show the following implication holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow (v \in S \vee \exists i. (i, v) \in L) \wedge & (*) \\ \forall i, j. (i, v) \in L \wedge (j, w) \in L \wedge i < j \rightarrow v < w < k \wedge \text{loop body} & \\ \rightarrow & \\ \forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow (v \in S' \vee \exists i. (i, v) \in L') \wedge & \\ \forall i, j. (i, v) \in L' \wedge (j, w) \in L' \wedge i < j \rightarrow v < w < k' & \end{aligned}$$

We consider two cases. In the first case, when $k \notin S$, then the loop body is

$$S \neq \emptyset \wedge k' = k + 1$$

and we see that the implication * holds, since if $v < w < k$ then also $v < w < k + 1$.

In the second case the loop body is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S \neq \emptyset \wedge k \in S \wedge L' = L \cup \{(size(L), k)\} \wedge \\ S' = S \setminus \{k\} \wedge k' = k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for the primed values into *:

$$\forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow (v \in S \vee \exists i. (i, v) \in L) \wedge \quad (1)$$

$$\forall i, j. (i, v) \in L \wedge (j, w) \in L \wedge i < j \rightarrow v < w < k \wedge \text{loop body} \quad (2)$$

$$\rightarrow \quad (3)$$

$$\forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow (v \in (S \setminus \{k\}) \vee \exists i. (i, v) \in (L \cup \{(size(L), k)\})) \wedge \quad (4)$$

$$\forall i, j. (i, v) \in (L \cup \{(size(L), k)\}) \wedge (j, w) \in (L \cup \{(size(L), k)\}) \wedge i < j \rightarrow v < w < k + 1 \quad (5)$$

From line 1, line 4 holds for all elements in S_0 except for k , which is now removed from S . But since there exists $i = size(L)$ such that $(size(L), k) \in L$, the condition on line 4 holds.

From line 2, line 5 holds for all i, j , except when $j = size(L)$. But when $i, j < size(L)$, then we know from the assumption that $v < w < k$. Then if $j = size(L)$, $w = k$ and thus w strictly larger than any v , thus the condition still holds.

Hence, we have shown that invariant holds after one loop iteration is thus inductive.

- iii) After the loop we have $S = \emptyset$ so that condition A becomes $\forall v. v \in S_0 \rightarrow \exists i. (i, v) \in L$ and condition B implies immediately the first part of the postcondition.

Problem 4: Galois connection ([20 points])

Task a) [5 points] We will prove that (α, γ) is a Galois connection. To show this, we will show $c \subseteq \gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow \alpha(c) \supseteq a$. Since the ordering on the abstract domain is the superset relation, this becomes

$$c \subseteq \gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow \alpha(c) \supseteq a \quad \text{i.e.} \quad c \subseteq \gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow a \subseteq \alpha(c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c \subseteq \gamma(a) &\Leftrightarrow \forall s. s \in c \rightarrow s \in \gamma(a) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall s. s \in c \rightarrow \forall t \in a. (s, t) \in r \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in c. \forall t \in a. (s, t) \in r \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall t \in a. \forall s \in c. (s, t) \in r \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall t. t \in a \rightarrow \forall s \in c. (s, t) \in r \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \subseteq \alpha(c) \end{aligned}$$

Task b) [4 points] No. Let $S = T = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $r = \{(a, a), (b, a), (c, c), (c, d)\}$.

Then $\gamma(\{a\}) = \gamma(\{a, b\}) = \{a\}$, so γ is not injective. Neither is it surjective as the element b is never mapped to any subset of S .

Conversely, $\alpha(\{c\}) = \alpha(\{c, d\}) = \{c\}$, so α is not injective. Neither is it surjective as the element d is never mapped to any subset of T .

Task c) [5 points] Yes, this is a Galois connection and it corresponds to predicate abstraction.

Task d) [6 points]

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(Q) &= \{s \in S \mid \forall t \in Q. (s, t) \in \overline{\rho(z)}\} \\ &= \{s \in S \mid \forall t. t \in Q \rightarrow (s, t) \in \overline{\rho(z)}\} \\ &= \{s \in S \mid \forall t. (s, t) \in \rho(z) \rightarrow t \in \overline{Q}\} \\ &= wp(\rho(z), \overline{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(P) &= \{t \in T \mid \forall s \in P. (s, t) \in \overline{\rho(z)}\} \\ &= \{t \in T \mid \forall s. s \in P \rightarrow (s, t) \in \overline{\rho(z)}\} \\ &= \{t \in T \mid \neg \exists t. s \in P \wedge (s, t) \in \rho(z)\} \\ &= \overline{sp(P, \rho(z))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c \subseteq \gamma(a) &\Leftrightarrow \alpha(c) \supseteq a \\ c \subseteq wp(\rho(z), \overline{Q}) &\Leftrightarrow \overline{sp(P, \rho(z))} \supseteq a \\ c \subseteq wp(\rho(z), \overline{Q}) &\Leftrightarrow sp(P, \rho(z)) \subseteq \bar{a} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5: Widening ([20 points])

Task a)

1) [2 points] By definition of Galois connection, α and γ are monotonic. A composition of two monotonic functions is monotonic. Indeed, say $c_1 \subseteq c_2$. Then $\alpha(c_1) \subseteq \alpha(c_2)$ by monotonicity of α . Furthermore, then $\gamma(\alpha(c_1)) \subseteq \gamma(\alpha(c_2))$ by monotonicity of γ . Therefore, α' is monotonic.


2) [2 points]

Task b) [3 points] The type signature of α' is $\alpha' : C \rightarrow C$ i.e. $2^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

As an example, let $c = \{5, 10, 15\}$. Then $\alpha' = \{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 100\}$.

Task c) [5 points] Note that the image of α' is isomorphic to the lattice (A, \sqsubseteq) and the image of $\bar{\alpha}'$ is isomorphic to A^n . Iterating $\bar{\alpha}'$ is like iterating an abstract transformer in A^n . The longest chain in A has length 7. With n program points the number of steps is $7n$, so we can take $H = 7n$.

Task d) [6 points] The program can have the following control-flow graph:



The fixpoint of $\bar{\alpha}'$ at the control-locations is then:

- 1 : \mathbb{Z}
- 2 : $\{0, \dots, \infty\}$
- 3 : $\{0, \dots, 1000\}$
- 4 : $\{0, \dots, \infty\}$
- 5 : $\{1000, \dots, \infty\}$

After applying F again we get:

- 1 : \mathbb{Z}
- 2 : $\{0, \dots, \infty\}$
- 3 : $\{0, \dots, 999\}$
- 4 : $\{3, \dots, 1003\}$
- 5 : $\{1000, \dots, \infty\}$