This Week

- Finish relational semantics
- Hoare logic
- Interlude on one-point rule
- Building formulas from programs

Synthesis, Analysis, and Verification Lecture 03a

Relational Semantics and Consequences Hoare Logic

Lectures: Viktor Kuncak



Mapping Programs

while (x > 1) {
 if (x % 2 = 0)
 x = x / 2
 else
 x = 3 * x + 1
}



into relations

```
{((x_{1,...,}x_{n}), (x'_{1,...,}x'_{n})) | F(x_{1,...,}x_{n} x'_{1,...,}x'_{n})}
```

Guarded Command Language

assume(F) - stop execution if F does not hold pretend execution never happened

s1 [] s2 - non-deterministic execution of both s1 and s2

s* - execute s zero, once, or more times

Guarded Commands and Relations - Idea

r*

 $r_1 U r_2$

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{T}$

{(x,T) | true }

gets more complex for more variables

assume(F)

 Δ_{S} S is set of values for which F is true (satisfying assignments of F)

s₁ [] s₂

S*

Assignment for More Variables

var x,y



State as a Map from Variables to Values

V - variables S: V > Z states statement x=3; becomes $\subseteq (V \rightarrow Z)^2$ $\{(s_1,s_1) \mid s'=s(x'':=3)\}$ and x = t meaning of t is states becomes $\{(s,s') \mid s' = s("x" := [[t]]_s)\}$

'if' condition using assume and []

if (F) s1 else s2

(assume(F); s1) [] (assume(¬F); s2)



$$(\bigtriangleup_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \mathsf{S}_{1})$$
$$\cup (\bigtriangleup_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \mathsf{S}_{2})$$

'while' using assume and *

while (F)



С

(assume(F); c)*;
assume(¬F)

 $[[while (F) c]] = (\Delta_{[F]} \circ [[c]])^* \circ \Delta_{[TF]}$

Compute Relation for this Program

```
r = 0; \qquad assume (...) ... 
while (x > 0) {
r = r + 3;
x = x - 1
}
r = {((r,x), (r',x'))} ?
```

As the program state use the pair of integer variables (r,x)

1) compute guarded command language for this program (express 'while' and 'if' using 'assume').

Compute Relation for this Program

| r = 0; | | r = 0; | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| while (x > 0) { | | (assume(x>0); | |
| r = r + 3; | \rightarrow | r = r + 3; | |
| $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - 1$ | | x = x - 1)*; | |
| } | | assume(x <= 0) | |
| assume(x>0); r = r + 3; x = x - 1 |] = [B]] = { | x'= x-1 r'= r+3 x>0 | } |
| [B*] = [| $ B]^* = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} [B]^k = \{$ | v'=r+3 (x-x' x'≥0 ∧ x-x |) へ ' こ 0 子 () |
| r = 0; B*; assume(x <= 0) | $ = \{ \dots \mid r' = 3 (x - x' \le 0) \\ = \{ \dots \mid r' = 3 \times 0 \} $ | x) x x'>0 x s 3 U xx = 0 x x > 030 | <-y' >0 u{x<0 ^ y'=x ^r'= 0} |
| 2) comput | e meaning of progra | am pieces, from sm | aller to bigger |

В

r' = r + 3 && x' = x - k && x > 0

B^k

r' = r + 3k &&x' = x - k & &x > 0 && x - 1 > 0 && ... && x - (k-1) > 0i.e. r' = r + 3k &&x' = x - k & &x - (k - 1) > 0i.e. $x - k \ge 0$

(s,s') in B^{*} \Leftrightarrow exists k. (s,s') in B^k B* : exists k. $k \ge 0 \&\&$ r' = r + 3k &&x' = x - k & &x - k >= 0i.e. by one-point rule: $r' = r + 3(x-x') \&\&x' \ge 0 \&\&x - x' \ge 0$ and we must also add the diagonal

Havoc Statement

Havoc Statement

• Havoc statement is another useful declarative statement. It changes a given variable entirely arbitrarily: there will be one possible state for each possible value of integer variable.

havoc (x) {((r,x), (r',x)) | r'=r}

$$\{(s,s') \mid \exists v. s'=s("x" := v)\}$$

X=3

Expressing Assignment with Havoc+Assume

- We can prove that the following equality holds under certain conditions:
 - x = E is havoc(x); assume(x==E)

In other words, assigning a variable is the same as changing it arbitrarily and then assuming that it has the right value.

Under what condition does this equality hold?

Correctness as Relation Inclusion

program \rightarrow relation p specification \rightarrow relation s program meets specification:

$$p \subseteq s$$

example: $p = \{((r,x),(r',x')). r'=2x \&\& x'=0 \}$
 $s = \{((r,x),(r',x')). x > 0 \rightarrow r' > x' \}$

then the above program p meets the specification s because implication holds:

$$r'=2x \&\& x'=0 \rightarrow (x > 0 \rightarrow r' > x')$$

Normal form for Loop-Free Programs

Lemma: Let P be a program without loops. Then for some natural number n,

where each p_i is relation composition of

relations for assignments

 $[P] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$

- diagonal relations $\Delta_{F'}$

Prove this.

$$[F] \qquad [TF] \\ x = x + 1$$

 $\Delta_{s} \circ [X = X + 1] \circ \Delta_{T} \circ [X \in [] \circ [Y = ...]$

△s, [x=E], o, U move U to top level

Proof



base case: trivial



A Hoare Logic Proof

```
//{0 <= y}
i = y;
//{0 \le y \& i = y}
r = 0;
//{0 \le y \& i = y \& r = 0}
while //\{r = (y-i)*x \& 0 \le i\}
(i > 0) (
 //{r = (y-i)*x \& 0 < i}
 r = r + x;
 //\{r = (y-i+1)*x \& 0 < i\}
 i = i - 1
 //{r = (y-i)*x \& 0 \le i}
//{r = x * y}
```

Hoare Logic

• see wiki