# Combined Decision Techniques for the Existential Theory of the Reals

G. O. Passmore, P. B. Jackson

November 26, 2010

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

## Last week...

quantifier elimination for  $\mathbb R$  and  $\mathbb C$ 

### Now...

special case of deciding the quantifier-free fragment for reals

## RAHD - Real Algebra in High Dimension(6)

basic idea: use different techniques for different types of constraints  $\rightarrow$  exploit their "sweet spots"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

### **Basic problem**

Given implicitly existentially quantified formula  $\phi$ , which is a boolean combination of terms of the form

$$p \circ 0 \quad \circ \in \{<, \leq, =, \neq, \geq, >\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

where p is a polynomial, determine whether  $\phi$  is unsatisfiable.

### Notation

- $\phi$ : formula to prove
- F: set of polynomials in  $\phi$
- *p*, *f*, *g*, ...: polynomials

# Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition

Idea: decompose  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into cells where F is sign-invariant

## Projection

recursively compute the sets  $F_{n-1}, F_{n-2}, ..., F_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, ..., \mathbb{R}^1$ such that if a cell C in  $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  is sign-invariant for  $F_{k-1}$ , then all polynomials in  $F_k$  over C have a fixed number of roots  $\rightarrow$  we can decompose the cylinder of C in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ 

## Construction

starting from  $F_1$ , for each  $F_i$  construct a partition in  $\mathbb{R}^i$  at each step

- the polynomials  $f \in F_i$  are univariate
- compute test points for each cell

# CAD - for open sets

algorithm is dominated by a function doubly-exponential in *n* 

### Improvements

- not all cells are necessary for deciding the formula
   → reduces number of cells produced
- if *φ* contains only strict inequalities, cells are open sets
   → select only rational test points

References: original strict inequality paper (5), QEPCAD B tool (2), best explanation I could find (1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Let  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$  denote the set of all n-variate polynomials

## Ideal

- $I \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$  such that
  - 0 ∈ I
  - $f,g \in I$ , then  $f+g \in I$
  - $f \in I$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , then  $hf \in I$

 $\rightarrow$  think of it as an analogue to a vector space, generated by some polynomials  $l=< f_1,...,f_s>$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

analogous to vector spaces, different bases are possible

## Groebner (standard) basis

special basis with some very nice properties

- every ideal has a finite unique (reduced) Groebner basis
- Buchberger's algorithm computes it for any set of polynomials

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• provides necessary condition for the test  $g \in I$ 

Reference: decent introduction (4)

## Elimination property

Given

$$x2 + y + z = 1$$
  
$$x + y2 + z = 1$$
  
$$x + y + z2 = 1$$

the ideal is  $I = \langle x^2 + y + z - 1, x + y^2 + z - 1, x + y + z^2 - 1 \rangle$ then the Groebner basis is

$$g1 = x + y + z^{2} - 1$$
  

$$g2 = y^{2} - y - z^{2} + z$$
  

$$g3 = 2yz^{2} + z^{4} - z^{2}$$
  

$$g4 = z^{6} - 4z^{4} + 4z^{3} - z^{2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

# There's a catch...

The back-substitution necessary for solving the system of equations only works for  $\mathbb{C}$  , but

- if  $\phi$  unsatisfiable over  $\mathbb C$ , then also over  $\mathbb R$
- $\bullet\,$  rewriting of polynomials generating the ideal is still valid over  $\mathbb R$

## A note on complexity

- rational coefficients created can be very large
- degrees in the reduced basis can grow very large
- choosing the right monomial ordering can improve things

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

 $\rightarrow$  the worst-case complexity not determined yet  $\rightarrow$  experimental results show useful for 'normal' problems

# Virtual Term Substitution

Consider only formulas linear or quadratic in the quantified variable:

 $\exists x.[ax^2 + bx + c = 0] \land F$ 

- replace x in F by the three possible solutions  $\alpha_i$  for x
- add constraints for each case
- rewrite final expression so that it does not contain square roots
- $\Rightarrow$  disjunction of formulas
  - substitution may increase the degree of other variables
  - resulting formulas may be unwieldy

 $\Rightarrow$  if applicable (with degree-reduction heuristics) gives good performance for high-dimensional problems

References: original paper (7), improvements (3)

## Stengle's Weak Positivstellensatz

$$F = p_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \land \dots \land p_k(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$
  
 
$$\land q_1(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \land \dots \land q_l(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$$
  
 
$$\land s_1(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \land \dots \land s_m(\mathbf{x}) > 0$$

is unsatisfiable iff, if

 $\exists f \in Ideal(p_1, ..., p_k), \\ \exists g \in Cone(q_1, ..., q_l), \\ \exists h \in Monomials(s_1, ...s_m)$ 

such that

$$f + g + h^2 = -1$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Given a constraint p = 0 or p < 0, then

$$RC(p) > 0$$
 (degree-zero coefficient)  
 $\land p \in \{\sum_{j=1}^{k} m^2 | m \text{ monomial with coeff. in } \mathbb{Q} \}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

is a witness certificate for unsatisfiability.

# Sturm's theorem

Suppose we have an univariate constraint of the form p = 0. Given a Sturm chain  $p, p_1, ..., p_m$ 

$$p_{0}(x) = p(x)$$

$$p_{1}(x) = p'(x)$$

$$p_{2}(x) = -rem(p_{0}, p_{1}) = p_{1}(x)q_{0}(x) - p_{0}(x)$$

$$p_{3}(x) = -rem(p_{1}, p_{2}) = p_{2}(x)q_{1}(x) - p_{1}(x)$$
...
$$0 = -rem(p_{m-1}, p_{m})$$

denote by  $\sigma(\xi)$  the number of sign changes in the sequence

$$p(\xi), p_1(\xi), p_2(\xi), ..., p_m(\xi)$$

then for a < b, both real, the number of real roots in (a, b] is  $\sigma(a) - \sigma(b)$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

For constraints of the form

$$[\rho > 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \quad \land (x > q_1) \land (x < q_2)]$$

the following is a certificate for unsatisfiability

$$p(rac{q_2-q_1}{2}) \leq 0 \ SC(p,(q_1,q_2)) = 0 \ q_1 < q_2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- $\bullet$  strict inequalities  $\rightarrow$  CAD
- $\bullet \ \text{sum-of-squares} \to \text{Positivstellensatz}$
- $\bullet \ \text{equalities} \rightarrow \text{Groebner bases}$
- $\bullet$  univariate constraints  $\rightarrow$  Sturm's theorem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## **Dimension reduction**

$$pq = 0 \iff (p = 0 \lor q = 0)$$
  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i^2 = 0 \iff \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 0$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- elimination ideals with Groebner bases
- (approximation of real radical ideals)

Given a *goal*  $\phi$ , show unsatisfiability.

- put  $\phi$  in DNF, giving a set of *cases*
- Inormalize so that every case is a conjunction of equalities or strict inequalities over polynomials
- se case manipulation functions (CMF) on each case in turn
  - report sat/unsat
  - return unchanged
  - make progress (e.g. by rewriting into equisatisfiable formula)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• return boolean formula, but with reduced dimension

 $\rightarrow$  ordering of CMF's is crucial

- cheap functions first (Sturm chains before CAD)
- functions providing information to others first (Positivstellensatz search before Sturm)
- function is included several times, if it has a chance of making a more informed decision after certain steps have run (interval analysis before and after Groebner basis rewriting)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

If all else fails, run the general CAD algorithm.

Compared to

- QEPCAD-B
- Redlog/Rige (virtual term substitution, fallback on Ricad)
- Redlog/Rlcad (partial CAD)

Results:

• RAHD can solve some (high-dimension, high-degree) problems, the others can not

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

• not the fastest on the other problems

## References



V. Weispfennig A. Dolzmann, T. Strum.

Real quantifier elimination in practice. Algorithmic Algebra and Number Theory, 1998.



Christopher W. Brown.

Qepcad b: A program for computing with semi-algebraic sets using cads. SIGSAM BULLETIN, 37:97–108, 2003.



Christopher W. Brown.

On quantifier elimination by virtual term substitution. Technical report, Naval Academy Annapolis, 2005.

#### David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O'Shea.

Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. Springer, 2007.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のQ@



#### S. McCallum.

Solving polynomial strict inequalities using cylindrical algebraic decomposition. Technical report, Macquarie University, Australia, 1993.



#### Grant Olney Passmore and Paul B. Jackson.

Combined decision techniques for the existential theory of the reals. In  ${\it CICM},$  2009.



#### V. Weispfenning.

Quantifier elimination for real algebra — the quadratic case and beyond. Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 1997.