
Quiz 2

Synthesis, Analysis, and Verification 2010

Friday, May 27th, 2011

Last Name : _____

First Name : _____

Exercise	Points	Achieved Points
1	20	
2	40	
3	40	
Total	100	

Problem 1: Interval Analysis (20 points)

Consider interval analysis of a program with two integer variables x and y .

The state of the program is a map of the form $\{x \mapsto i, y \mapsto j\}$ where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The abstract domain A associates an interval to each variable: it is the set of maps of the form

$$\{x \mapsto [l_x, u_x], y \mapsto [l_y, u_y]\}$$

with $l_x, l_y \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $u_x, u_y \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$.

The abstraction function α is such that given a set of concrete states S , $\alpha(S)(x)$ is the most precise interval containing all values of x found in S and $\alpha(S)(y)$ is the most precise interval containing all values of y found in S .

The concretization function γ is such that

$$\gamma(\{x \mapsto [l_x, u_x], y \mapsto [l_y, u_y]\}) = \{s.s(x) \in [l_x, u_x] \wedge s(y) \in [l_y, u_y]\}$$

Finally we define

$$sp^\sharp(a, c) = \alpha(sp(\gamma(a), c))$$

In the following questions the abstract postconditions need to be computed with respect to an arbitrary abstract precondition represented by $\{x \mapsto [l_x, u_x], y \mapsto [l_y, u_y]\}$. Please make sure that the abstract postconditions you give are as precise as possible.

Question 1.1. Consider the statement $y = 5*x^2 - 26*x + 5$. What is its abstract strongest postcondition?

Question 1.2. Give the abstract strongest postcondition for $x = x*y$ and $x = a*x + b*y$.

Question 1.3. Use the rules you determined above to compute the abstract postcondition for the following program:

```
y = 5*x - 1;  
x = x - 5;  
y = y*x;
```

Problem 2: Predicate Abstraction (40 points)

Consider a set of predicates

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$$

Let us define an abstract domain A whose elements are sets of sets of predicates (A is the powerset of the powerset of \mathcal{P}).

Question 2.1. Define a partial order \sqsubseteq on A that does not rely on any interpretation of the predicates.

Question 2.2. Define a join operation \sqcup such that (A, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup) forms a semi-lattice.

We interpret elements of the abstract domain as disjunctions of conjunctions:

Let γ_1 be a function mapping predicates to sets of concrete states, which are meant to represent the set of states for which the predicate is true.

Moreover given a set of predicates b we define

$$\gamma_2(b) = \bigcap_{p \in b} \gamma_1(p)$$

Finally the concretization function γ is such that given a set of set of predicates $a \in A$,

$$\gamma(a) = \bigcup_{b \in a} \gamma_2(b)$$

Question 2.3. Make sure that γ is a monotonically increasing function (adapting your answer to the previous questions if not) and prove it.

Consider the abstract strongest postcondition $sp^\sharp(a, c)$ where a is an element of the abstract domain A and c is a program command.

Given a set of sets of predicates $a \in A$, sp^\sharp is defined as follows:

$$sp^\sharp(a, c) = \{sp_1^\sharp(b, c) \mid b \in a\}$$

where, given a set of predicates b , we have:

$$sp_1^\sharp(b, c) = \{p \mid p \in b \wedge \gamma_1(p) \subseteq wp(c, \gamma_1(p))\}$$

where wp is the weakest precondition operator.

Question 2.4. Show that sp^\sharp is sound.

Now consider programs with two integer variables x and y and the following set of predicates:

$$P = \{0 \leq x, 0 \leq y, \text{even}(x), \text{odd}(y)\}$$

Assume that γ_1 is the obvious interpretation of the predicates.

Question 2.5. Find a and c such that $\gamma(sp^\sharp(a, c))$ is a strict superset of $sp(\gamma(a), c)$.

Finally, consider the following statement c :

$$x = y + 1$$

Question 2.6. Is sp^\sharp the most precise abstract postcondition for c ? In other words, is there $a \in A$ for which there exists an element $a' \sqsubset sp^\sharp(a, c)$ such we still have:

$$sp(\gamma(a), c) \subseteq \gamma(a')$$

Problem 3: Abstract Interpretation and Dynamic Memory Allocation (40 points)

Consider a language with the following grammar:

```

VAR  := Variable |
      VAR.next
EXPR := VAR |
      new Cell(EXPR, Nat) |
      null
CMD  := VAR = EXPR;
PROG := CMD PROG | EOF

```

where `Variable` is a set of variable names and `Nat` is the set of all natural numbers \mathbb{N} . The language allows to dynamically allocate cells and to organize them in linked lists. The second parameter to the `Cell` constructor identifies allocation points in the program. We call it the tag of a cell. Here is an example of a program:

```

x = new Cell(null, 1)
y = new Cell(x, 2)
x.next = y
z = new Cell(y.next, 1)

```

Assume that there is a set of cells $C = \{C_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ from which allocated cells are drawn. We denote the set of allocated cells by $Alloc$.

The state S of a program is defined as a triple $(pointsTo, next, Alloc)$ where $pointsTo : Variable \rightarrow Alloc \cup \{null\}$ and $next : Alloc \rightarrow \{Alloc \cup null\}$.

Initially no cells are allocated ($Alloc = \emptyset$). When `new` is used a cell is picked from $C \setminus Alloc$ and added to $Alloc$. Variable assignment updates the $pointsTo$ component of the state. Finally initializations and updates of `next` in the program are reflected by initializations and updates of the $next$ component of the state.

Question 3.1. Describe a possible state after the last line of the example program has been executed.

Let us now define an abstraction denoting, for any tag n , the set of tags that cells with tag n may point to and, for any variable, the set of tags the variable may point to. For this, define an abstract domain S^\sharp whose elements are couples of partial functions $(pointsTo^\sharp, next^\sharp)$ where $pointsTo^\sharp : Variable \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $next^\sharp : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Question 3.2. Describe the abstract state corresponding to the state you determined in question 3.1

Question 3.3. Define an ordering relation \sqsubseteq on the abstract domain such that (S^\sharp, \sqsubseteq) is a partial order. Justify your answer by proving the relevant properties of \sqsubseteq .

Question 3.4. Define the join \sqcup of two abstract states such that $(S^\sharp, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup)$ forms a semi-lattice. Justify your answer by proving the relevant properties of join.

Question 3.5. Define a concretization function γ that maps abstract states to sets of concrete states and that is meaningful for the analysis of programs in the language.

Question 3.6. For the statement `x = y.next`, prove that there exists the strongest abstract postcondition that satisfies the soundness requirement for abstract postconditions. Also describe how to compute it.