## Exercise: Snack Dispenser

Snack dispenser has 6 levels with 4 slots each. Each slot can hold 10 items. Each item costs 2 CHF. The only way to operate a machine is to insert 1 CHF coin into temporary storage (one step), which must be done two times, then select the refreshment (in one step), which immediately dispenses the snack or cancels and returns coins if none is available in the slot, or if the stable coin storage is full. The machine can hold up to 500 CHF in its stable coin storage. It starts full with items but with no coins. Describe this transition system and estimate the cardinality of the set of all of its states. Can the stable storage ever hold 99 coins? 100 coints? 490 coins?

| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 coins |
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$$
\text { states: } 10^{4 \cdot 6} \cdot 501 \cdot 2=10^{24} \cdot 1002>10^{27}
$$
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states: $10^{4 \cdot 6} \cdot 501 \cdot 2=10^{24} \cdot 1002>10^{27}$
coins: $0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 98 \rightarrow 100 \rightarrow 240 \cdot 2=480$

## Sets of States (Reachable, Invariants) are Too Large to Store Explicitly

Approach: use formulas and data structure to represent them compactly
For finite state systems: use propositional formulas.
Two important algorithms:

- bounded model checking using SAT solvers
- (reachability) model checking using binary decision diagrams (BDDs)

These algorithms play important role in model checking hardware designs and are basis for tools and more complex algorithms.

## Encoding Finite Transition Systems with Bits: Sequential Circuit

Consider a deterministic finite-state transition system: $M=(S, I, r, A)$ If we pick $n \geq \log _{2}|S|$ and $m \geq \log _{2}|A|$, we can represent the finite-state transition system using boolean functions:

- each element of $S$ as $\bar{s} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$, so $S=\{0,1\}^{n}$
- each element of $A$ as $\bar{a} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$, so $A=\{0,1\}^{m}$
- initial states $I \subseteq S$ by the characteristic function $\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$
- deterministic transition relation $r \subseteq S \times A \times S$ as function $(S \times A) \rightarrow S$, that is, $\{0,1\}^{n} \times\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$

(For non-deterministic systems, we represent $r$ as $(S \times A \times S) \rightarrow\{0,1\})$


## Example: Blinking Lights

- $S=\{0,1\}(1=$ "light on" $)$
- $A=\{0,1\}(1=$ "toggle light" $)$
- $I(s)=(s=0)$
- $r(s, a)=s \oplus a$


Example trace:


## Example: Accumulator with Add and Clear Commands

- $S=\{0,1\}^{n}$ (value of accumulator)
- $A=\{0,1\}^{n} \times\{0,1\}$ (number to add, clear signal)
- $I(s)=\left(s=0^{n}\right)$
- $r(s,(i, c))=$ if (c) then 0 else $s+_{n} i$ ( $+_{n}$ is addition modulo $2^{n}$ )


Example trace:
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How to represent boolean functions, like $r$, efficiently?

## Boolean Function Representation: Table

Let $r:\{0,1\}^{n} \times\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$
List each of the $\{0,1\}^{n+m}$ inputs and specify the result
When $s, a \in\{0,1\}^{4}$ and represent addition of 4-bit non-negative integers modulo 16 :

| $s$ | $a$ | $r(s, a)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 0000 | 0000 |
| 0000 | 0001 | 0001 |
| 0000 | 0010 | 0010 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0001 | 0000 | 0001 |
| 0001 | 0001 | 0010 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| 1111 | 1111 | 1110 |$\} 2^{4+4}=256$ columns

In general, we cannot do better than truth table (there are that many different functions), but truth table always has bad representation size, even for functions like +4 .

## Boolean Function Representation: Formulas

Let $r \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n} \times\{0,1\}^{m} \times\{0,1\}^{n}$
We represent the condition

$$
\left(\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right),\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right),\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in r
$$

by writing a propositional formula with variables $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{m}^{\prime}$ that is true precisely when the tuple belongs to $r$.
If $p$ is a propositional variable and $v \in\{0,1\}$ then we define $p^{\vee}$ by $p^{1}=p$ and $p^{0}=\neg p$. We can always represent $r$ by a propositional formula in disjunctive normal form:

$$
\bigvee_{\left(\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in r}\left(\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_{i}^{v_{i}} \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i}^{u_{i}} \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{v_{i}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

so we do not lose on generality. Moreover, for many boolean functions, we can write down smaller formulas.

## Boolean Function Representation: Circuits

Formulas correspond to trees: variables are leaves, operations internal nodes. More efficient representation that exploits sharing: directed acyclic graphs (DAGs). We can view DAGs as formulas with auxiliary variable definitions.
Example for simple (ripple-carry) $n$-bit adder:

- input numbers: $s_{1} \ldots s_{n}$ and $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$
- output: $s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{n}^{\prime}$

The formula with auxiliary variables $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}$ :

$$
c_{1}=0 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i} \oplus a_{i} \oplus c_{i}\right) \wedge\left(c_{i+1}=\left(s_{i} \wedge a_{i}\right) \vee\left(s_{i} \wedge c_{i}\right) \vee\left(a_{i} \wedge c_{i}\right)\right)
$$

We can implement such definitions in hardware: route an output of one gate to multiple other gates.
To get back a tree: substitute all auxiliary variables $c_{i}$, but we get much bigger formula. Or, existentially quantify all auxiliary variables.

## Observation about Eliminating Variables

Let $F, G$ be propositional formulas and $c$ a propositional variable Let $F[c:=G]$ denote the result of replacing in $F$ each occurrence of $c$ by $G$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
c[c:=G] & =G \\
\left(F_{1} \wedge F_{2}\right)[c:=G] & =F_{1}[c:=G] \wedge F_{2}[c:=G] \\
\left(F_{1} \vee F_{2}\right)[c:=G] & =F_{1}[c:=G] \vee F_{2}[c:=G] \\
\left(\neg F_{1}\right)[c:=G] & =\neg\left(F_{1}[c:=G]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also generalize to simultaneous replacement of many variables, $F[\bar{c}:=\bar{G}]$ Then following formulas are equivalent (have same truth for all free variables):

- $F[c:=G]$
- $\exists c .((c=G) \wedge F)$
- $\forall c .((c=G) \rightarrow F)$

Note: free variables are the variables occurring in the formula minus quantified ones (c)

## Recap: Free Variables for Quantified Boolean Formulas

Quantified boolan formulas (QBF) are build from propositional variables and constants 0,1 using $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrows, \exists, \forall$
(We also write $=$ for $\leftrightarrows$.) A boolean formula is a QBF without quantifiers $\forall, \exists$.
Definition of free variables of a formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F V(v) & =\{v\} \text { when } v \text { is a propositional variable } \\
F V\left(F_{1} \wedge F_{2}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(F_{2}\right) \\
F V\left(F_{1} \vee F_{2}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(F_{2}\right) \\
F V\left(F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(F_{2}\right) \\
F V\left(\neg F_{1}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \\
F V\left(\exists v . F_{1}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash\{v\} \\
F V\left(\forall v . F_{1}\right) & =F V\left(F_{1}\right) \backslash\{v\}
\end{aligned}
$$

An environment $e$ maps propositional variables to $\{0,1\}$ (sometimes written $\{\perp, T\}$ ) For vector of $n$ boolean variables $\bar{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}$, we denote $[\bar{p} \mapsto \bar{v}]$ the environment $e$ given by $e\left(p_{i}\right)=v_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
We write $e \mid=F$ to denote that $F$ is true in environment $e$.

## Recap: Validity, Satisfiability, Equivalence

Definition: Formula $F$ is satisfiable, iff there exists e such that $e=F$. Otherwise it is called unsatisfiable.
A SAT solver is a program that, given boolean formula $F$, either gives one satisfying assignment $e$ such that $e=F$ (if such e exists), or else returns unsat (implying that no satisfying assignment exists).

Definition: Formula $F$ is valid, iff for all $e, e \ell F$.
Observation: $F$ is valid iff $\neg F$ is unsatisfiable.
Definition: Formulas $F$ and $G$ are equivalent iff for every $e$ that defines all variables in $F V(F) \cup F V(G)$, we have: $e \mid=F$ iff $e \mid=G$.

Observation: $F$ and $G$ are equivalent iff $F \longleftrightarrow G$ is valid.
$\exists p . F$ is equivalent to $P[p:=0] \vee P[p:=1]$ whereas $\forall p . F$ to $P[p:=0] \wedge P[p:=1]$

## Formula Representation of Sequential Circuits

We represent sequential circuit as $C=(\bar{s}$, Init, $R, \bar{x}, \bar{a})$ where:

- $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ is the vector of state variables
- Init is a boolean formula with $F V($ Init $) \subseteq\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$
- $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)$ is the vector of input variables
- $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ is the vector of auxiliary variables (for $R$ )
- $R$ is a boolean formula called transition formula, for which

$$
F V(R) \subseteq\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Transition system for $C$ is $(S, I, r, A)$ where $S=\{0,1\}^{n}, A=\{0,1\}^{m}$,

- $I=\left\{\bar{v} \in\{0,1\}^{n}|[\bar{s} \mapsto \bar{v}]|=\operatorname{Init}\right\}$
- $r=\left\{\left(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n+m+n}\left|\left[\left(\bar{s}, \bar{a}, \bar{s}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|=\exists \bar{x} . R\right\}$

Auxiliary variables $\bar{x}$ are treated as existentially quantified, can use conjucts $x_{i}=E(\bar{s}, \bar{a}, \bar{x})$ to express intermediate values.

## Checking Inductive Invariant using SAT Queries

Given sequential circuit representation $C=(\bar{s}, \operatorname{Init}, R, \bar{x}, \bar{a})$ and a formala $\operatorname{Inv}$ with $F V(\operatorname{Inv}) \subseteq\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$, how do we check that $\operatorname{Inv}$ is an inductive invariant?
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Note that $\bar{a}, \bar{x}$ variables are also existentially quantified, as they should be.

We can check if a formula is an inductive invariant using two queries to a SAT solver and making sure that they both return unsat.

## Bounded Model Checking for Reachability

We construct a propositional formula $T_{j}$ such that formula is satisfiable if and only if there exist a trace of length $j$ starting from initial state that satisfies error formula $E$ where $F V(E) \subseteq\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$.
$\bar{s}^{i}$ denotes state variables in step $i$.
$\bar{a}^{i}$ denotes inputs in step $i$.

where $R_{i}$ is our transition formula, with variables renamed:

$$
R_{i} \equiv R\left[\left(\bar{s}, \bar{a}, \bar{x}, \bar{s}^{\prime}\right):=\left(\bar{s}^{i}, \bar{a}^{i}, \bar{x}^{i}, \bar{s}^{i+1}\right)\right]
$$

## Write These Conditions Using (Quantified) Boolean Formulas

1. Does a property $P$ hold in all states reachable in at most $k$ steps?
2. Is there a simple path (no repeated states) of length $j$ from state satisfying $F_{1}$ to a state satisfying $F_{2}$ ?
3. Is the system input enabled in every state: no matter what the input is, there exists a possible next state?
4. Can the system reach in $j$ steps a state where, for some inputs, it cannot make a step?
5. Is a given formula invariant (not necessarily inductive)?
6. Is it possible that some sequence of inputs of the system make it loop forever?
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