Translating Control Using Branch Destination Parameters



$$\begin{bmatrix} e \end{bmatrix}_{d_1 d_2} = i_1 \\ br d_1 \\ br d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Translating control flow structures more efficiently

boolean expressions Introduce an imaginary large instruction **branch**(c,nThen,nElse).

Here c is a potentially complex boolean expression (the main reason why **branch** is not a built-in bytecode instruction), whereas nTrue and nFalse are the labels we jump to depending on the boolean value of c.

We will show how to

- use branch to compile if and short-circuiting operators,
- by expanding **branch** recursively into concrete bytecode instructions.

Translating control flow structures more efficiently

```
[if (e_{cond}) e_{then} else e_{else}] :=
      block nAfter
        rblock nElse
         rblock nThen
  brock fillen
branch(econd, nThen, nElse) <-
end //nThen:
  [ethen]
br nAfter
end //nElse:
false-> [eelse]
      end //nAfter:
      e_{rest}
```

Decomposing conditions in branch

```
branch(!e,nThen,nElse) :=
branch(e,nElse,nThen)
```

```
branch(e<sub>1</sub> && e<sub>2</sub>, nThen, nElse) :=
    block nLong
    branch(e<sub>1</sub>, nLong, nElse)
end //nLong:
    branch(e<sub>2</sub>, nThen, nElse)
```

```
branch(e<sub>1</sub> || e<sub>2</sub>, nThen, nElse) :=
block nLong
branch(e<sub>1</sub>, nThen, nLong)
end //nLong:
branch(e<sub>2</sub>, nThen, nElse)
```

Decomposing conditions in branch

```
branch(true,nThen,nElse) :=
br nThen
```

```
branch(false,nThen,nElse) :=
br nElse
```

```
branch(b,nThen,nElse) := (where b is a local var)
get_local #b
br_if nThen
br nElse
```

Decomposing conditions in branch

```
\begin{array}{c} <\\ \leq\\ \\ \textbf{branch}(e_1 == e_2, \textbf{nThen, nElse}) := (\textit{where } e_1, e_2 \textit{ are of type } \textit{int})\\ \hline e_1 \\ \hline e_2 \\ \hline i32.eq\\ \textbf{br_if nThen}\\ \textbf{br nElse} \end{array}
```

... analogously for other relations

Returning the result from branch

Consider storing x = c where x, c are boolean and c contains && or \parallel .

How do we put the result of c on the stack so it can be stored in x?

```
x = c :=
 block nAfter
  block nElse
   block nThen
    branch(c,nThen,nElse)
   end //nThen:
  - i32.const 1 the
    br nAfter
 end //nElse:
- i32.const 0 false
 end //nAfter:
 set_local #x
```

Destination label parameters

Recall that in **branch**(c,nThen,nElse) we had two arguments nThen and nElse, which told us where to jump to execute code of the corresponding branches.

Similarly, up until now we explicitly enclosed our translated program fragments in an nAfter block, so we could jump to the "rest" of the program.

Destination label parameters

Recall that in **branch**(c,nThen,nElse) we had two arguments nThen and nElse, which told us where to jump to execute code of the corresponding branches.

Similarly, up until now we explicitly enclosed our translated program fragments in an nAfter block, so we could jump to the "rest" of the program.

 \Rightarrow We can generalize our translation function [\cdot] to take a destination label designating the "rest" in the surrounding code.

Destination label parameters

Recall that in **branch**(c,nThen,nElse) we had two arguments nThen and nElse, which told us where to jump to execute code of the corresponding branches.

Similarly, up until now we explicitly enclosed our translated program fragments in an nAfter block, so we could jump to the "rest" of the program.

 \Rightarrow We can generalize our translation function [\cdot] to take a destination label designating the "rest" in the surrounding code.

 $[e] \Rightarrow [e] nAfter$

 \Rightarrow The caller of the translation function determines where to continue!

Translations with an nAfter label parameter (1)

```
x = e nAfter :=
  block nSet
     [e] nSet
    // note that the rest of this block is never reached!
   end //nSet:
\rightarrow set local \#x
   br nAfter
 [s_1; s_2] nAfter :=
  -block nSecond
     s_1 nSecond
  end //nSecond:
   [s_2] nAfter
```

Translations with an nAfter label parameter (2)

```
[if (e_{cond}) e_{then} else e_{else}] nAfter :=
  block nFlse
    block nThen
      branch(e<sub>cond</sub>, nThen, nElse)
    end //nThen:
    [e_{then}] nAfter
  end //nElse:
  [e_{else}] nAfter
[return e] nAfter :=
  block nRet
    e nRet
___end //nRet:
  return
```

Switch statements

Let us assume our language had a switch statement (like C and Java do, for instance):

```
switch (e<sub>scrutinee</sub>) {
  case c<sub>1</sub>: e<sub>1</sub>
  ...
  case c<sub>n</sub>: e<sub>n</sub>
  default: e<sub>default</sub>
}
```

▷ How can we compile such switch statements?

Compiling switch statements

```
[s_{switch}] nAfter :=
 block nDefault
   block nCase<sub>n</sub>
      . . .
        block nCase<sub>1</sub>
          block nTest
         \rightarrow [e_{scrutinee}] nTest
          end //nTest:
         tee_local #s (where s is some fresh local of type i32)
          i32.const c_1; i32.eq; br_if nCase<sub>1</sub>
          get_local #s
          i32.const c<sub>2</sub>; i32.eq; br_if nCase<sub>2</sub>
          . . .
          br nDefault
        end //nCase1:
        [e_1] nCase<sub>2</sub>
      . . .
    end //nCase<sub>n</sub>:
    [e_n] nDefault
 end //nDefault:
 [e_{default}] nAfter
```

Compiling switch statements

```
[s_{switch}] nAfter :=
   block nDefault
     block nCase<sub>n</sub>
        . . .
         block nCase<sub>1</sub>
           block nTest
             [e_{scrutinee}] nTest
           end //nTest:
           tee_local #s (where s is some fresh local of type i32)
           i32.const c1; i32.eq; br_if nCase1
           get_local #s
           i32.const c<sub>2</sub>; i32.eq; br_if nCase<sub>2</sub>
           . . .
           br nDefault
         end //nCase1:
         [e_1] nCase<sub>2</sub>
        . . .
     end //nCase<sub>n</sub>:
     [e_n] nDefault
   end //nDefault:
   [e_{default}] nAfter
How do we translate break?
```

At any point during the translation of **switch** we want to keep track not only where to jump *after*, but also where to jump on a break!

At any point during the translation of **switch** we want to keep track not only where to jump *after*, but also where to jump on a break!

 \Rightarrow Let us extend the translation function by another label parameter.

At any point during the translation of **switch** we want to keep track not only where to jump *after*, but also where to jump on a break!

 \Rightarrow Let us extend the translation function by another label parameter.

 $[\cdot]$ nAfter $\Rightarrow [\cdot]$ nAfter nBreak

 \Rightarrow The caller of the translation function determines where to continue in the "normal" case, but also when break is called!

Translating break then is straightforward: One simply ignores nAfter and follows nBreak instead.

```
[break] nAfter nBreak :=
br nBreak
```

> What do we have change in our translation of switch statements?

Compiling switch statements with breaks

```
[s_{switch}] nAfter nBreak :=
 block nDefault
   block nCase<sub>n</sub>
      . . .
       block nCase<sub>1</sub>
          block nTest
  \rightarrow [e_{scrutinee}] nTest nBreak
          end //nTest:
          tee_local #s (where s is some fresh local of type i32)
          i32.const c1; i32.eq; br if nCase1
          get_local #s
          i32.const c<sub>2</sub>; i32.eq; br_if nCase<sub>2</sub>
          . . .
          br nDefault
        end //nCase1:
    \rightarrow [e<sub>1</sub>] nCase<sub>2</sub> nAfter
      . . .
   end //nCase<sub>n</sub>:
\rightarrow [e_n] nDefault nAfter
 end //nDefault:
  [e_{default}] nAfter nAfter
```

Translating While Statement

Consider translation of the **while** statement, which gets 'nextLabel' destination, specifying where to jump when exiting the loop. We assume that the instructions emitted are inside the block that introduced nextLabel.

What is the translation schema?

[while (cond) stmt] nextLabel =

Translating While Statement

Consider translation of the **while** statement, which gets 'nextLabel' destination, specifying where to jump when exiting the loop. We assume that the instructions emitted are inside the block that introduced nextLabel.

What is the translation schema?

```
[ while (cond) stmt ] nextLabel =
    loop startLabel
    block bodyLabel
    branch(cond, bodyLabel, nextLabel)
    end // bodyLabel
    f stmt ] startLabel
end
```

break Statement

In many languages, a break statement can be used to exit from the loop. For example, it is possible to write code such as this:

```
while (cond1) {
   code1
   if (cond2) break;
   code2
}
```

Loop executes code1 and checks the condition cond2. If condition holds, it exists. Otherwise, it continues and executes code2 and then goes to the beginning of the loop, repeating the process.

Give translation scheme for this loop construct and explain how the translation of other constructs needs to change.

break Statement - Propagating Exit Label

For a **break** statement to know where to jump, it needs to be given a label indicating the exit of the loop. When we translate a statement (such as **if**) potentially containing **break**, the translation of this statement needs both the parameter to pass on to **break** as well as the parameter to jump to during normal execution. Therefore, each statement needs two destination parameters: the 'nextLabel' and the 'loopExit' label. For example,

[if (cond) thenC else elseC] nextL loopExitL =

break Statement - Propagating Exit Label

For a **break** statement to know where to jump, it needs to be given a label indicating the exit of the loop. When we translate a statement (such as **if**) potentially containing **break**, the translation of this statement needs both the parameter to pass on to **break** as well as the parameter to jump to during normal execution. Therefore, each statement needs two destination parameters: the 'nextLabel' and the 'loopExit' label. For example,

```
[ if (cond) thenC else elseC ] nextL loopExitL =
   block elseL
    block thenL
    branch(cond, thenL, elseL)
   end // thenL
   [thenC] nextL loopExitL
   end // elseL
   [elseC] nextL loopExitL
```

Translating **break**:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
```

Translating **break**:

[break] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
 br loopExitLabel

Translating **break**:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    br loopExitLabel
```

Translating while:

[while (cond) stmt] nextLabel loopExitLabel =

Translating break:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    br loopExitLabel
```

Translating while:

Translating break:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    br loopExitLabel
```

Translating while:

```
[ while (cond) stmt ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    loop startLabel
    block bodyLabel
    branch(cond, bodyLabel, nextLabel)
    end // bodyLabel
    [ stmt ] startLabel
```

Translating break:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    br loopExitLabel
```

Translating while:

```
[ while (cond) stmt ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    loop startLabel
    block bodyLabel
    branch(cond, bodyLabel, nextLabel)
    end // bodyLabel
    [ stmt ] startLabel nextLabel
    end
```

Translating **break**:

```
[ break ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    br loopExitLabel
```

Translating while:

```
[ while (cond) stmt ] nextLabel loopExitLabel =
    loop startLabel
    block bodyLabel
    branch(cond, bodyLabel, nextLabel)
    end // bodyLabel
    [ stmt ] startLabel nextLabel
    end
```

What if we want to have **continue** that goes to beginning of the loop?

Loops with break and continue

Translating **break**:

Translating continue:

Translating while:

```
[ while (cond) stmt ] nextL loopExitL loopStartL =
    loop startLabel
    block bodyLabel
    branch(cond, bodyLabel, nextL)
    end // bodyLabel
    [ stmt ] startLabel nextL startLabel
end
```

Explain difference between labels loopStartL and startLabel