

Code Generation: Notation

We use brackets, $[s]$ to denote “result of compiling s ”.

For compilation of expressions, we can thus write as follows.

$$\begin{array}{l} [e_1 + e_2] = \\ \quad [e_1] \\ \quad [e_2] \\ \quad \mathbf{i32.add} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} [e_1 * e_2] = \\ \quad [e_1] \\ \quad [e_2] \\ \quad \mathbf{i32.mul} \end{array}$$

Sequential Composition

How to compile statement sequence?

$$s_1; s_2; \dots; s_N$$

Sequential Composition

How to compile statement sequence?

$$s_1; s_2; \dots; s_N$$

Solution: concatenate bytecodes for each statement:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_1; s_2; \dots; s_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \dots \\ s_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Same Thing in Scala-Like Notation

```
def compileStmt(e: Stmt): List[Bytecode] = e match {  
  ...  
  case Sequence(sts) =>  
    for { st <- sts;  
          bcode <- compileStmt(st)  
        } yield bcode  
  ...  
}
```

In other words, the case of sequence returns flatMap with recursive call:

```
...  
case Sequence(sts) => sts.flatMap(compileStmt)  
...
```

In practice, concatenating lots of lists is inefficient.

We can use e.g. imperative append.

Compiling Control: Example

```
int count(int counter,  
          int to,  
          int step) {  
  int sum = 0;  
  do {  
    counter = counter + step;  
    sum = sum + counter;  
  } while (counter < to);  
  return sum; }
```

We need to see how to:

- translate boolean expressions
- generate jumps for control

```
(func $func0  
  (param $var0 i32) (param $var1 i32)  
  (param $var2 i32) (result i32)  
  (local $var3 i32)  
  i32.const 0  
  set_local $var3  
  loop $label0  
    get_local $var3  
    get_local $var0  
    get_local $var2  
    i32.add  
    tee_local $var0  
    i32.add  
    set_local $var3  
    get_local $var0  
    get_local $var1  
    i32.lt_s  
    br_if $label0  
  end $label0  
  get_local $var3 )
```

Representing Booleans

“All comparison operators yield 32-bit integer results with 1 representing true and 0 representing false.” – WebAssembly spec

Our generated code uses 32 bit int to represent boolean values in: **local variables, parameters, and intermediate stack values.**

1, representing true

0, representing false

i32.eq: sign-agnostic compare equal

i32.ne: sign-agnostic compare unequal

i32.lt_s: signed less than

i32.le_s: signed less than or equal

i32.gt_s: signed greater than

i32.ge_s: signed greater than or equal

i32.eqz: compare equal to zero (return 1 if operand is zero, 0 otherwise) // not

Truth Values for Relations: Example

```
int test(int x, int y){  
    return (x < y);  
}
```

```
(func $func0  
  (param $var0 i32)  
  (param $var1 i32)  
  (result i32)  
  
  get_local $var0  
  get_local $var1  
  i32.lt_s  
)
```

Comparisons, Conditionals, Scoped Labels

```
int fun(int x, int y){  
  int res = 0;  
  if (x < y) {  
    res = (y / x);  
  } else res = (x / y);  
  return res+x+y;  
}
```

```
(local $var2 i32)  
block $label1 block $label0  
  get_local $var0  
  get_local $var1  
  i32.ge_s  
  br_if $label0 // to else branch  
  get_local $var1  
  get_local $var0  
  i32.div_s  
  set_local $var2  
  br $label1 // done with if  
end $label0 // else branch  
  get_local $var0  
  get_local $var1  
  i32.div_s  
  set_local $var2  
end $label1 // end of if  
  get_local $var1  
  get_local $var0  
  i32.add  
  get_local $var2  
  i32.add
```


Main Instructions for Labels

- **block**: the beginning of a block construct, a sequence of instructions with a **label at the end**
- **loop**: a block with a label at the **beginning** which may be used to form loops
- **br**: branch to a given label in an enclosing construct
- • **br_if**: conditionally branch to a given label in an enclosing construct
- **return**: return zero or more values from this function
- **end**: an instruction that marks the end of a block, loop, if, or function

Compiling If Statement

Notation for compilation:

```
[ if (cond) tStmt else eStmt ] =  
    block $nAfter block $nElse  
    [ !cond ]  
    bf_if $nElse  
    [ tStmt ]  
    br $nAfter  
  
end $nElse:  
    [ eStmt ]  
end $nAfter:
```

```
block $label1 block $label0  
    (negated condition code)  
br_if $label0 // to else branch  
    (true case code)  
br $label1 // done with if  
end $label0 // else branch  
    (false case code)  
end $label1 // end of if
```

Is there alternative without negating condition?

How to introduce labels

- For forward jumps to \$label: use

block \$label

...

end \$label

- For backward jumps to \$label: use

loop \$label

...

end \$label

WebAssembly's *if*

WebAssembly has dedicated bytecodes for if expressions, i.e., *if*, *else*, *end*:

```
[econd]  
if  
  [ethen]  
else  
  [eelse]  
end  
[erest]
```

▷ Given the *block* and *br[_if]* instructions you saw this construct isn't necessary. How can we desugar snippets like the above?

WebAssembly's *if*

- ▷ Given the *block* and *br[_if]* instructions you saw this construct isn't necessary. How can we desugar snippets like the above?

```
block nAfter
  block nElse
    [!econd]
    br_if nElse
    [ethen]
    br nAfter
  end //nElse:
  [eelse]
end //nAfter:
[erest]
```

WebAssembly's *if*

- ▷ Given the *block* and *br[_if]* instructions you saw this construct isn't necessary. How can we desugar snippets like the above?

```
block nAfter
  block nElse
    [!econd]
    br_if nElse
    [ethen]
    br nAfter
  end //nElse:
  [eelse]
end //nAfter:
[erest]
```

- ▷ Can we avoid the negation on the branching condition *e_{cond}*?

Avoiding negation

▷ Can we avoid the negation on the branching condition e_{cond} ?

```
block nAfter
  block nThen
    [ $e_{cond}$ ]
    br_if nThen
    [ $e_{else}$ ]
    br nAfter
  end //nThen:
  [ $e_{then}$ ]
end //nAfter:
  [ $e_{rest}$ ]
```