# Type Soundness & Subtyping

# Exercise 1

Consider a simple programming language with integer arithmetic, boolean expressions and user-defined functions.

```
T := Int | Bool | (T_1, ..., T_n) => T

t := true | false | c_1

| t_1 == t_2 | t_1 + t_2 | t_1 && t_2

| if (t_1) t_2 else t_3

| f(t_1, ..., t_n) | x
```

Where  $c_1$  represents integer literals, == represents equality (between integers, as well as between booleans), + represents the usual integer addition and & represents conjunction. The meta-variable f refers to names of user-defined function and x refers to names of variables.

You may assume that you have a fixed environment e which contains information about user-defined functions (i.e. the function arguments, their types, the function body and the result type).

### Part 1

Write down the "usual" typing rules for this language.

#### Part 2

Inductively define the substitution operation for your terms, which replaces every free occurence of a variable in an expression by an expression *without free variables*.

Prove that substitution preserves the type of an expression, given that the variable and the expression have the same type.

### Part 3

Write the operational semantics rules for the language, assuming *call-by-name* semantics for function calls. In call-by-name semantics, the arguments of a function are not evaluated before the call. In your operational semantics, parameters in the function body are to merely be substituted by the corresponding unevaluated argument expression.

## Part 4

Adapt the soundness proof seen in the last lecture to account for the new semantics. Prove only the cases related to function application.

# Exercise 2

In this second exercise, we will have a look at a simple programming language with the following types and terms:

```
T := Integer | Pos | Neg 
t := c_1 | t_1 + t_2 | t_1 * t_2 | t_1 / t_2
```

Integer is the type of all integer numbers, while Pos is the type of all *strictly* positive integer numbers and Neg the type of all *strictly* negative numbers. Note that, interestingly, some terms will accept multiple types.

For instance, 14 will have the types Integer and Pos, while -2 will have the types Integer and Neg. The constant 0 on the other hand will only have the type Integer.

### Part 1

Write down typing rules for the terms of the language. Try to preserve information about positivity and negativity. Also, make sure that your type system prohibits division by zero.

### Part 2

Under your type system, what are the types, if any, of the following terms? Write down a derivation for each possible type.

```
1 + 1
-2 * 4
-1 * (2 + -1)
7 / (18 + -1)
```

#### Part 3

We now introduce a new relation,  $T_1 <: T_2$ , which we call the *subtyping* relation.

 $T_1 <: T_2$  can be read as " $T_1$  is a subtype of  $T_2$ ". When  $T_1 <: T_2$ , terms of type  $T_1$  can safely be used in the context where terms of type  $T_2$  are expected. In this exercise, what pairs of types can be made part of this subtyping relation? List all such possible pairs.

### Part 4

Write down the *subsumption* rule, which bridges the gap between the subtyping relation and the typing relation. The rule should state that if a term has a type  $T_1$  and  $T_1$  is a subtype of  $T_2$ , then the term has also type  $T_2$ .

Now that you have defined this rule, can you remove some of the typing rules you had previously defined for the various constructs of the language?

### Part 5

Let's now expand our language and add a primitive "power" function to it:

$$t := ... \mid power(t_1, t_2)$$

With the following typing rule:

$$\Gamma \vdash t_1 : Integer \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : Integer$$

$$\qquad \qquad \Gamma \vdash power(t_1, t_2) : Integer$$

Typecheck the following expression under the empty environment. Show a type derivation.

Does there exist multiple valid type derivations that assign the same type to the above expression?