Lecture 10: Type Inference

Languages such as Haskell, ML, ocaml support inference of types in most cases

Using Amy syntax, with type inference we could write programs without type annotations:

```
def message(s, verbose) = {
  if (verbose > 1) { print(s) }
  else { print(".") }
}
```

The system would infer types of parameters and result, and check that the program type checks. If it is not possible to find types, the type checker will still complain.

- as concise code as in untyped language
- type inference still catches meaningless programs

Today we explain how to do such type inference, for simple types

Intuition and key ideas

```
def message(s, verbose) = {
  if (verbose > 1) { print(s) }
  else { print(".") }
}
```

 $\frac{>: \textit{Int} \times \textit{Int} \rightarrow \textit{Bool}, \textit{ verbose} : \tau_{\textit{verbose}}, 1 : \textit{Int}}{(\textit{verbose} > 1) : \textit{Bool}}$

so $\tau_{verbose} = Int$, for application of > to make sense.

 $\frac{\textit{print}:\textit{String} \rightarrow \textit{Unit}, \ s:\tau_s}{\textit{print}(s):\textit{Unit}}$

so $\tau_s = String$, for application of *print* to make sense. Both if branches return Unit, and so should message Strategy:

- 1. Use type variables (e.g. $au_{verbose}, au_s$) to denote unknown types
- 2. Use type checking rules to derive constraints among type variables (arguments have expected types)
- 3. Use unification algorithm to solve constraints

Small language with tuples and functions

Types are:

- 1. primitive types: Int, Bool, String, Unit
- 2. type constructors:
 - Pair[A,B] or (A,B) denotes set of pairs
 - Function[A,B] or $A \Rightarrow B$ denotes functions from A to B

Abstract syntax of types:

$$t := Int \mid Bool \mid String \mid Unit \mid (t_1, t_2) \mid (t_1 \Rightarrow t_2)$$

Terms include pairs and anonymous functions (x denotes variables, c literals):

$$t := x | c | f(t_1, ..., t_n) | \text{if} (t) t_1 \text{ else } t_2 | (t_1, t_2) | (x \Rightarrow t)$$

Primitives P1,P2 for pair components, if t = (x, y) then P1(t) = x, P2(t) = y. We write them as in Scala, t_{-1} and t_{-2} For values and types, (x, y, z) is shorthand for, say, (x, (y, z))

Type Rules

Rule for conditionals:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : Bool \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{if} \ (b) \ t_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ t_2) : \tau}$$

Rules for variables:

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

Rules for constants:

Rules for Pairs

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

If the first component t_1 has type τ_1 and the second component t_2 has type τ_2 then the pair (t_1, t_2) has the type (τ_1, τ_2) .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash t_{-1} : \tau_1}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash t_{-2} : \tau_2}$$

Functions of One argument

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau \Rightarrow \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash f(t) : \tau_0}$

Functions of One argument

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau \Rightarrow \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash f(t) : \tau_0}$$

Why only one argument?

Functions of One argument

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau \Rightarrow \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash f(t) : \tau_0}$$

Why only one argument? Note that τ can be a tuple (τ_1, \ldots, τ_n) , so we can derive:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \dots \Gamma \vdash t_n : \tau_n \quad \Gamma \vdash f : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, \dots, t_n) : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \quad \Gamma \vdash f : (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f(t) : \tau_0}{\Gamma \vdash f(t) : \tau_0}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[x := \tau_1] \vdash t : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (x \Rightarrow t) : (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2)}$$

What does this rule say?

$$\frac{\Gamma[x := \tau_1] \vdash t : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (x \Rightarrow t) : (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2)}$$

What does this rule say?

Anonymous function $x \Rightarrow t$ that maps x to the value given by term t has a function type.

$$\frac{\Gamma[x := \tau_1] \vdash t : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (x \Rightarrow t) : (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2)}$$

What does this rule say?

Anonymous function $x \Rightarrow t$ that maps x to the value given by term t has a function type.

The type of this function is $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$, where τ_1 is the type of x and τ_2 is the type of t.

$$\frac{\Gamma[x := \tau_1] \vdash t : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (x \Rightarrow t) : (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2)}$$

What does this rule say?

Anonymous function $x \Rightarrow t$ that maps x to the value given by term t has a function type.

The type of this function is $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$, where τ_1 is the type of x and τ_2 is the type of t. Inside t there may be uses of x, which has some type τ_1 . This is why Γ is extended with binding of x to τ_1 when type checking t.

Example

```
def translatorFactory(dx, dy) = {
   p ⇒ (p._1 + dx, p._2 + dy) // returns anonymous function
}
def upTranslator = translatorFactory(0, 100)
def test = upTranslator((3, 5)) // computes (3, 105)
```

Type inference can find types that correspond to this annotated program:

def translatorFactory(dx: Int, dy: Int): (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = { p \Rightarrow (p._1 + dx, p._2 + dy) } def upTranslator : (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = translatorFactory(0, 100) def test: (Int,Int) = upTranslator((3, 5))

Are our inferred types correct?

def translatorFactory(dx: Int, dy: Int): (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = { p \Rightarrow (p._1 + dx, p._2 + dy) } def upTranslator : (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = translatorFactory(0, 100) def test: (Int,Int) = upTranslator((3, 5))

 $\Gamma \vdash p \Rightarrow (p._1 + dx, p._2 + dy) \quad : \quad (Int, Int) \Rightarrow (Int, Int)$

From Type Checking to Type Inference

def translatorFactory(dx: Int, dy: Int): (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = { p \Rightarrow (p._1 + dx, p._2 + dy) } def upTranslator : (Int,Int) \Rightarrow (Int,Int) = translatorFactory(0, 100) def test: (Int,Int) = upTranslator((3, 5))

Example steps in type checking the body. Let $\Gamma' = \Gamma[p := (Int, Int)]$

How did type inference discover dx: *Int*? We construct the derivation tree keeping type of dx symbolic until some derivation step tells us what it must be. Here, + expects two integers in $p_{..}1 + dx$

Deriving Constraints in Type Inference

def translatorFactory(dx, dy) = {

$$p \Rightarrow (P1(p) + dx, P2(p) + dy)$$

}

Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma[p := \tau_p]$ where τ_p is to be determined later

$$\frac{\Gamma_{1} \vdash p : \tau_{p} \quad \tau_{p} = (\tau_{3}, \tau_{4})}{\Gamma_{1} \vdash p_{..1} : \tau_{3} \quad \Gamma_{1} \vdash dx : \tau_{dx} \quad \Gamma_{1} \vdash + : (Int, Int) \rightarrow Int}{\Gamma_{1} \vdash p_{..1} + dx : \tau_{1} \quad \tau_{3} = Int, \ \tau_{dx} = Int, \ \tau_{1} = Int}{\Gamma_{1} \vdash (p_{..1} + dx, p_{..2} + dy) : \tau_{r} \quad \tau_{r} = (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})}{\Gamma \vdash (p \Rightarrow (p_{..1} + dx, p_{..2} + dy)) : \tau_{fun} \quad \tau_{fun} = \tau_{p} \Rightarrow \tau_{r}}$$

Analogously, for the second component of the pair, we derive $\tau_2 = Int$, $\tau_4 = Int$ on other branches of the derivation tree.

From these constraints it follows $\tau_p = (Int, Int)$, $\tau_r = (Int, Int)$ and

$$au_{\mathit{fun}} = (\mathit{Int}, \mathit{Int}) \Rightarrow (\mathit{Int}, \mathit{Int})$$

Constraints

Introduce type variable for each tree node. Then collect these constraints:

tree node	constraint
$(f: au_f)(t: au): au_0$	$ au_{f} = (au \Rightarrow au_{0})$
$((x: au_{x}) \Rightarrow (t: au_t)): au_{\mathit{fun}}$	$ au_{fun} = (au_{x} \Rightarrow au_t) \;\; (x, au_{x}) \; ext{added to } \Gamma' \; ext{for } t$
$(t_1: au_1,t_2: au_2): au$	$ au = (au_1, au_2)$
$(t: au)_{\cdot_1}: au_1$	$ au = (au_1, au_2) \;\; au_2$ is a fresh type variable
$(t: au)2: au_2$	$ au = (au_1, au_2) \hspace{0.2cm} au_1$ is a fresh type variable
$(\mathbf{if} (b: au_b) t_1: au_1 \mathbf{else} t_2: au_2): au$	$ au= au_1, au= au_2, au_b= extsf{Bool}$
$x: au_{x}$	$\Gamma(x) = au_x$
false : $ au$	au = Bool
true : $ au$	au = Bool
k: au	au = Int
"": $ au$	$\tau = Bool$ $\tau = Bool$ $\tau = Int$ $\tau = String$

Summary of type inference

- 1. Introduce type variable for each tree node
- 2. For each tree node use type rules to derive constraints among the type variables
- 3. Solve the resulting set of equations on type variables

Solving equations on simple types: unification (as in Prolog)

Types in equations have the following syntax:

 $t := \tau \mid Int \mid Bool \mid String \mid Unit \mid (t_1, t_2) \mid (t_1 \Rightarrow t_2)$

We assume that

- primitive types are disjoint and distinct from pairs and functions
- pairs and functions are always distinct
- two pairs are equal iff their corresponding component types are equal
- two functions are equal iff their argument and result types are equal ldea: eliminate variables, decompose pair and function equalities. Algorithm works for any *term algebra* (algebra of syntactic terms)
 - ▶ Pair[A,B] and Function[A,B] are two distinct binary term constructors
 - Int, Bool, String are distinct nullary constructors

Unification Algorithm

Applies the following rules as long as they change equation set Let x denote a type variable and T a type term **Orient:** Replace T = x with x = T when τ is not a type variable **Delete useless:** Remove x = x**Eliminate:** Given x = T where T does not contain x, replace x with T in all remaining equations **Occurs check:** Given x = T where T contains x, report clash (no solutions) **Decompose pairs:** Replace $(T_1, T_2) = (T'_1, T'_2)$ with two equations $T_1 = T'_1$ and $T_2 = T'_2$. **Decompose functions:** Replace $(T_1 \Rightarrow T_2) = (T'_1 \Rightarrow T'_2)$ with $T_1 = T'_1$ and $T_2 = T'_2$. **Decomposition clash (remaining cases):** Given equality where two sides start with different constructors report clash (no solution). Examples: $(T_1, T_2) = (T'_1 \Rightarrow T'_2)$. Int = (T_1, T_2) . Int = Bool. $(T_1 \Rightarrow T_2) = String$

Properties of unification

Algorithm always terminates (running time almost linear given the right data structures)

If it reports clash it means that equations have no solution (there exist no annotations that make program type check)

Otherwise, the equations have one or more solutions. Note that a variable that appears on left of equation does not appear on the right (else the eliminate rule would apply). Call a variable that only appears on the right a parameter. If there are no parameters, there is exactly one solution. Otherwise, for each assignment of types to parameters we obtain a solution. Moreover, all solutions are obtained this way. Therefore, the result of unification algorithm describes all possible ways to assign simple types to the program.

Run the algorithm for this example

```
def rightNest(t) = {
  (t._1._1, (t._1._2, t._2))
}
def test1 = rightNest((((1, 2), 3))
```

What happens in this case?

```
def rightNest(t) = {
   (t._1._1, (t._1._2, t._2))
}
def test1 = rightNest(((1, 2), 3))
def test2 = rightNest((false , true), false)
```

Program fails to type check because the argument type of t becomes equal to both Int and Bool, which is inconsistent.

More flexibility through generalization

```
def rightNest(t) = {
   (t._1._1, (t._1._2, t._2))
}
def test1 = rightNest(((1, 2), 3))
def test2 = rightNest((false , true), false)
```

After completing the inference for rightNest, first generalize its free type variables into a variable schema:

$$\forall a, b, c. ((a, b), c)) \rightarrow (a, (b, c))$$

Then, each time we use the function, replace quantified variables with fresh variables. Use in test1:

$$((a_1, b_1), c_1)) o (a_1, (b_1, c_1))$$

 $a_1 = Int$, $b_1 = Int$, $c_1 = Int$ Use in test2:

$$((a_2, b_2), c_2)) \rightarrow (a_2, (b_2, c_2))$$

 $a_2 = Bool, b_2 = Bool, c_2 = Bool$

More flexibility through generalization

```
def rightNest(t) = {
   (t._1._1, (t._1._2, t._2))
}
def test1 = rightNest(((1, 2), 3))
def test2 = rightNest((false , true), false)
```

With this new approach, the program type checks and its types are inferred as follows:

```
def rightNest[A,B,C](t : ((A, B), C)) : (A, (B, C)) = {
  (t._1._1, (t._1._2, t._2))
}
```

```
def test1 : (Int, (Int, Int)) =
    rightNest[Int, Int, Int](((1, 2), 3))
```

```
def test2 : (Bool, (Bool, Bool))=
  rightNest[Bool,Bool, Bool]((false , true), false)
```

More examples for type inference def S(x, y, z) = (x(z))(y(z))def Sb(x, y, z) = (x(z))(z(x))def $cm(f, g) = x \Rightarrow f(g(x))$ def $cr(f) = x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow f(x,y))$ def uncr(f) = $p \Rightarrow (f(p. 1))(p. 2)$ def $pr(x, y) = c \Rightarrow (c(x))(y)$ def $c1(p) = p(x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow x))$ def $c2(p) = p(x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow y))$ def e(x, y) = c1(pr(x, y))

Occurs check

Show what type inference does for expression f(f)

Occurs check

Show what type inference does for expression f(f)Let annotations be as follows:

 $(f:T_1)(f:T_2):T_3$

For $\Gamma(f) = T_f$, we generate constraints:

$$T_1 = T_f$$

 $T_2 = T_f$
 $T_1 = (T_2 \Rightarrow T_3)$

After eliminating T_1 and T_2 we obtain

$$T_f = (T_f \Rightarrow T_3)$$

which fails occurs check and unification fails. The term does not type check.



Let $\Gamma = \{(x, T_x), (y : T_y), (z, T_z)\}$ where T_x, T_y, T_z are type variables Apply type inference to expression x(z)(z(x))