CS-320
Computer Language Processing
Exercise Session 5

November 8, 2017
Overview

In today’s exercise you will

▶ perform type inference on some examples seen in the lecture,
▶ see how we can actually encode pairs using functions, and
▶ learn about some simple forms of subtyping.
Recap: Type-inference

In this week’s lecture we heard about type inference, which allows us to enjoy the safety of type systems without requiring explicit type annotations in the program.

We saw anonymous functions:

\((x \Rightarrow x)\)

This one corresponds to the identity function:

```python
def id(x) = x
```

Using type inference we can find a function’s most general type:

\(\forall X. X \rightarrow X\)

Such *type schemata* can be instantiated with different types:

```python
if (id(true)) id(1) else id(2)
```
Exercise 1

▷ Find the most general type for each of the following functions:

\[
\text{def } S(x, y, z) = (x(z))(y(z))
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{cm}(f, g) = x \Rightarrow f(g(x))
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{cr}(f) = x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow f(x,y))
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{uncr}(f) =
\begin{align*}
p & \Rightarrow (f(P1(p)))(P2(p))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{pr}(x, y) = c \Rightarrow (c(x))(y)
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{c1}(p) = p(x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow x))
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{c2}(p) = p(x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow y))
\]

\[
\text{def } \text{e}(x, y) = \text{c1}(\text{pr}(x,y))
\]
Finding the most general type

Exercise 1 (solution)

\[ S : \forall A, B, C. (A \to B \to C) \to (A \to B) \to A \to C \]

\[ cm : \forall A, B, C. (B \to C) \to (A \to B) \to A \to C \]

\[ cr : \forall A, B, C. ((A \times B) \to C) \to A \to B \to C \]

\[ uncr : \forall A, B, C. (A \to B \to C) \to (A \times B) \to C \]

\[ pr : \forall A, B, C. A \to B \to (A \to B \to C) \to C \]

\[ c1 : \forall A, B, C. ((A \to B \to A) \to C) \to C \]

\[ c2 : \forall A, B, C. ((A \to B \to B) \to C) \to C \]

\[ e : \forall A, B. A \to B \to A \]
Pairs

Recall that in the last lecture we had a language with pairs. That is, we had pair types

\[ T_1 \times T_2 \]

collectors for pair values

\((t_1, t_2)\)

and extractors for the first and the second component

\(\text{fst}(p) \quad \text{snd}(p)\)
Pairs

Recall that in the last lecture we had a language with pairs. That is, we had pair types

\[ T_1 \times T_2 \]

constructors for pair values

\((t_1, t_2)\)

and extractors for the first and the second component

\( \text{fst}(p) \quad \text{snd}(p) \)

It turns out that in an untyped language with anonymous functions one can already \emph{encode} pairs.

\(\Rightarrow\) What about our typed language with anonymous functions?
Encoding pairs

Let us attempt to encode pairs in our language.

We will represent a pair as a function of type

\[ \text{Pair}[A, B] = (A \to B \to C) \to C \]

where the \( C \) will be the result type of the computation depending on the pair.
Encoding pairs

Let us attempt to encode pairs in our language.

We will represent a pair as a function of type

$$\text{Pair}[A, B] = (A \to B \to C) \to C$$

where the $C$ will be the result type of the computation depending on the pair.

We define functions to create pairs and extract their components:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{def mkPair}(a, b) &= (f \mapsto f(a, b)) \\
\text{def fst}(p) &= p(a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a) \\
\text{def snd}(p) &= p(a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b)
\end{align*}
\]
Encoding pairs

Exercise 2

Consider the following program along with the above definitions:

\[
\text{f(fst(mkPair(1, true)), snd(mkPair(1, true)))}
\]

▷ What is the result of type inference on this program?
Encoding pairs

Exercise 2

```python
def mkPair(a, b) = (f ⇒ f(a, b))
def fst(p) = p(a ⇒ b ⇒ a)
def snd(p) = p(a ⇒ b ⇒ b)
def f(x, y) = true
```

Consider the following program along with the above definitions:

\[ f(\text{fst}(\text{mkPair}(1, \text{true})), \text{snd}(\text{mkPair}(1, \text{true}))) \]

▷ What is the result of type inference on this program?

Now consider we only create a single pair:

```python
def g(p) = f(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))
g(\text{mkPair}(1, \text{true}))
```

▷ What does type inference yield in this case?
Meaning of Types

• Operational view: Types are named entities
  – such as the primitive types (Int, Bool etc.) and explicitly declared classes, traits ...
  – their meaning is given by methods they have
  – constructs such as inheritance establish relationships between classes

• Mathematically, Types are sets of values
  – Int = { ..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ... }
  – Boolean = { false, true }
  – Int → Int = { f : Int -> Int | f is computable }
Types as Sets

- Sets so far were disjoint
  - Sets can overlap

- C represents not only declared C, but all possible extensions as well
SUBTYPING
Subtyping

- Subtyping corresponds to subset
- Systems with subtyping have non-disjoint sets
- \( T_1 <: T_2 \) means \( T_1 \) is a subtype of \( T_2 \)
  - corresponds to \( T_1 \subseteq T_2 \) in sets of values
- Rule for subtyping: analogous to set reasoning

In terms of sets

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : T_1 \quad T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : T_2}
\]

\[
\frac{e \in T_1}{\quad e \in T_2}
\]
Types for Positive and Negative Ints

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Int} &= \{ \ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \\
\text{Pos} &= \{ 1, 2, \ldots \} \quad \text{(not including zero)} \\
\text{Neg} &= \{ \ldots, -2, -1 \} \quad \text{(not including zero)}
\end{align*}
\]

**types:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Pos} &<: \text{Int} \\
\text{Neg} &<: \text{Int}
\end{align*}
\]

**sets:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Pos} &\subseteq \text{Int} \\
\text{Neg} &\subseteq \text{Int}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Pos} & \quad \Gamma \vdash y : \text{Pos} \\
\Gamma \vdash x + y : \text{Pos} \\
\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Pos} & \quad \Gamma \vdash y : \text{Neg} \\
\Gamma \vdash x \times y : \text{Neg} \\
\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Pos} & \quad \Gamma \vdash y : \text{Pos} \\
\Gamma \vdash x / y : \text{Pos}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x \in \text{Pos} & \quad y \in \text{Pos} \\
x + y \in \text{Pos} \\
x \in \text{Pos} & \quad y \in \text{Neg} \\
x \times y \in \text{Neg} \\
x \in \text{Pos} & \quad y \in \text{Pos} \\
x / y \in \text{Pos}
\end{align*}
\]

(y not zero)

(x/y well defined)
More Rules

\[ \Gamma ⊢ x: \text{Neg} \quad \Gamma ⊢ y: \text{Neg} \]
\[ \Gamma ⊢ x \times y: \text{Pos} \]

\[ \Gamma ⊢ x: \text{Neg} \quad \Gamma ⊢ y: \text{Neg} \]
\[ \Gamma ⊢ x + y: \text{Neg} \]

More rules for division?

\[ \Gamma ⊢ x: \text{Neg} \quad \Gamma ⊢ y: \text{Neg} \]
\[ \Gamma ⊢ x / y: \text{Pos} \]

\[ \Gamma ⊢ x: \text{Pos} \quad \Gamma ⊢ y: \text{Neg} \]
\[ \Gamma ⊢ x / y: \text{Neg} \]

\[ \Gamma ⊢ x: \text{Int} \quad \Gamma ⊢ y: \text{Neg} \]
\[ \Gamma ⊢ x / y: \text{Int} \]
Making Rules Useful

- Let \( x \) be a variable

\[
\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \uplus \{(x, \text{Pos})\} \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T \\
\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } (x > 0) \ e_1 \ \text{else} \ e_2) : T
\]

\[
\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma \uplus \{(x, \text{Neg})\} \vdash e_2 : T \\
\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } (x \geq 0) \ e_1 \ \text{else} \ e_2) : T
\]

```plaintext
var x : Int
var y : Int
if (y > 0) {
  if (x > 0) {
    var z : Pos = x * y
    res = 10 / z
  }
}
```

\text{type system proves: no division by zero}
def f(x: Int) : Pos = {
    if (x < 0) -x else x+1
}
var p : Pos
var q : Int
q = f(p)  

\[ (q, \text{Int}) \in \Gamma \]

\[ f(p) : \text{Pos} \]

Does this statement type check?

Given:

\[ \text{Pos <: Int} \]

\[ \Gamma \vdash f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Pos} \]
Subtyping Example

def f(x: Pos) : Pos = {
    if (x < 0) -x else x+1
}

var p : Int
var q : Int
q = f(p) Does this statement type check?

does not type check
What Pos/Neg Types Can Do

def multiplyFractions(p1 : Int, q1 : Pos, p2 : Int, q2 : Pos) : (Int,Pos) {
    (p1*q1, q1*q2)
}
def addFractions(p1 : Int, q1 : Pos, p2 : Int, q2 : Pos) : (Int,Pos) {
    (p1*q2 + p2*q1, q1*q2)
}
def printApproxValue(p : Int, q : Pos) = {
    print(p/q)  // no division by zero
}

More sophisticated types can track intervals of numbers and ensure that a program does not crash with an array out of bounds error.
Intersection types
(Exam question 2015)

⇒ Dedicated exercise sheet.
Problem 4: Intersection Types (25 points)

In this exercise, we will consider the notion of intersection of types. Let $T_1$ and $T_2$ be two types belonging to our language. An expression has an intersection type $T_1 \land T_2$ iff it can be typed as both $T_1$ and $T_2$. Therefore, we have the following type rules.

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : T_1 \land T_2}
\]

We consider $T_1 \land T_2$ and $T_2 \land T_1$ to be the same. In the above rules $T_1$ and $T_2$ can also be function types like $R \to S$.

a) [5 pts] If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are arbitrary types, consider the following three expressions denoting types: $T_1 \land T_2$, $T_1$, and $T_2$. State all subtyping relations that you believe should hold among the $3 \times 3$ possible pairs of expressions.

Enter $\prec$: if the type corresponding to the row is a subtype of the type corresponding to the column; enter $/$ if this is not necessarily the case.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T_1 & T_2 & T_1 \land T_2 \\
T_1 \land T_2 & & \\
\end{array}
\]

In the next part of the exercise, you are required to come up with a type derivation involving intersection types. Consider a language, similar to the one described in lecturecise 12, with arithmetic operations, if-else expressions, assignment and block statements, that has the following types: $\text{Pos}$, $\text{Neg}$, $\text{Int}$ and $\text{Bool}$. (We provide all the type rules that you may need for this exercise at the end of this question.)

Consider the function $f$ shown below. $\Gamma_0$ is the initial type environment before the beginning of the function.

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_0 = \{ \text{divk} : (\text{Pos} \to \text{Pos}) \land (\text{Neg} \to \text{Neg}) \} \\
def f(x : \text{Int}) : \text{Int} \\
\quad \text{if} (x > 0) \text{ divk}(x) \\
\quad \text{else} \\
\quad \text{if} (x < 0) \text{ divk}(x) \\
\quad \text{else} x \\
\end{array}
\]

divk is a function (e.g. like $x = 10/x$) that maps positive integers to positive integers and negative integers to negative integers. Observe that with intersection types we can type the function as $(\text{Pos} \to \text{Pos}) \land (\text{Neg} \to \text{Neg})$.

b) [20 pts] Complete the type derivation for the body of the function $f$, shown on page 5, by filling in the holes marked with $?$. If the expression will not type check, show the step where the type derivation cannot proceed. You will need to use only the type rules of intersection types and the types rules shown below.
Type Rules:

\[(x,T) \in \Gamma \quad \vdash x : T\]

\[\text{Pos} <: \text{Int} \quad \text{Neg} <: \text{Int}\]

\[\Gamma \vdash e : T_1 \quad T_1 <: T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : T_2\]

\[\Gamma \vdash e : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{e\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash \{x, \text{Pos}\} \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{x\} : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash \{x, \text{Neg}\} \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{\text{var } x : T; s_2; \ldots; s_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{(x,T)\} \vdash \{s_2; \ldots; s_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{s_1; \ldots; s_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{e_1; \ldots; e_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash g(\{e_1; \ldots; e_n\}) : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{s_1; \ldots; s_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{e_1; \ldots; e_n\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{\text{if(}x > 0) e_1 \text{ else } e_2\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{\text{if(}x < 0) e_1 \text{ else } e_2\} : T\]

\[\Gamma \vdash \{\text{if(}b) e_1 \text{ else } e_2\} : T\]
Γ₁ ⊢ x : Pos

Γ₁ ⊢ divk : ?

Γ₂ ⊢ x : Neg

Γ₁ ⊢ divk(x) : ?

Γ₂ ⊢ divk(x) : ?

Γ₁ ⊢ divk(x) : Int

Γ ⊢ if(x < 0) divk(x) else x : Int

Γ ⊢ if(x > 0) divk(x) else if(x < 0) divk(x) else x : Int

where,

Γ₁ = ?

Γ₂ = ?