Exercises on Chomsky Normal Form and CYK parsing

1. Convert the following grammar to CNF

Which of the following properties of a grammar are preserved by the "Epsilon Production Elimination" algorithm

- Ambiguity No, counter-example?
 - If a grammar is "ambiguous" does it remain ambiguous after removing epsilon productions?
- LL(1) No, counter-example?
- No Left Recursion No, counter-example?
- Unambiguity? Yes, proof?

Exercise 2 [Part 2]

Which of the following properties of a grammar are preserved by the "Unit Production Elimination" algorithm

- Ambiguity No, counter-example?
- Left Recursion May be
 - What about these rules: B -> A | a , A -> B?
- LL(1) Yes, proof?
- Unambiguity Yes, proof?

Given a grammar G in CNF, how many steps does it require to derive a string of size n.

Assume a grammar in CNF has n non-terminals. Show that if the grammar can generate a word with a derivation having at least 2^n steps, then the recognized language should be infinite

Show the CYK parsing table for the string "aabbab" for the grammar

A -> a

 $B \rightarrow b$

C -> SB

D -> SA

What should be done to construct a parse tree for the string

Should a grammar be strictly in CNF form for CYK to work? If not, what are the properties that can be relaxed?

A CYK for Any Grammar

```
grammar G, non-terminals A<sub>1</sub>,...,A<sub>k</sub>, tokens t<sub>1</sub>,....t<sub>1</sub>
input word: w = w_{(0)}w_{(1)}...w_{(N-1)}
W_{p..q} = W_{(p)}W_{(p+1)}...W_{(q-1)}
Triple (A, p, q) means: A = >^* w_{p..q}, A can be: A_i, t_i, or \varepsilon
 P = \{(w_{(i)}, i, i+1) | 0 \le i < N-1\}
 repeat {
    choose rule (A:=B_1...B_m) \in G
   if ((A,p<sub>0</sub>,p<sub>m</sub>)∉P &&
       ((m=0 \&\& p_0=p_m) | | (B_1,p_0,p_1), ...,(B_m,p_{m-1},p_m) \in P))
       P := P \cup \{(A, p_0, p_m)\}
 } until no more insertions possible
Accept if (S, 0, n) belongs to P
                                                                      for grammar in given normal form
What is the maximal number of steps?
```

How long does it take to check step for a rule?

Observation

 How many ways are there to split a string of length Q into m segments?

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} Q+m \\ m \end{array}\right) = \frac{\left(Q+m\right)!}{\left(Q+m\right)!}$$

- Exponential in m, so algorithm is exponential.
- For binary rules, m=2, so algorithm is efficient.

Closure Properties of CFG

- Concatenation
 - If L1 and L2 are context-free languages is $L = \{xy \mid x \in L1, y \in L2\}$ also context-free ?
- Union
- Closure
- Complement ?
 - Not always a CFG, but sometimes possible
- We can convert any regular expression to a CFG

Compute the complement of the grammar

- $-S \rightarrow A \mid B$
- A -> a A | ""
- B -> b B | ""