Exercise 1 : Aggregate

In the video lectures of this week, you have been introduced to the aggregate method of ParSeq[A] (and other parallel data structures...). It has the following signature:

```
def aggregate[B](z: B)(f: (B, A) \Rightarrow B, g: (B, B) \Rightarrow B): B
```

Discuss, as a group, what aggregate does and what its arguments represent.

Question 1

Consider the parallel sequence xs containing the three elements x1, x2 and x3. Also consider the following call to aggregate:

```
xs.aggregate(z)(f, g)
```

The above call might potentially result in the following computation:

f(f(f(z, x1), x2), x3)

But it might also result in other computations. Come up with at least 2 other computations that may result from the above call to aggregate.

Question 2

Below are other examples of calls to aggregate. In each case, check if the call can lead to different results depending on the strategy used by aggregate to aggregate all values contained in data down to a single value. You should assume that data is a parallel sequence of values of type BigInt.

Variant 1

```
data.aggregate(1)(_ + _, _ + _)
```

Variant 2

```
data.aggregate(0)((acc, x) => x - acc, _ + _)
```

Variant 3

```
data.aggregate(0)((acc, x) => acc - x, _ + _)
```

Variant 4

```
data.aggregate(1)((acc, x) => x * x * acc, _ * _)
```

Question 3

Under which condition(s) on z, f, and g does aggregate always lead to the same result ? Come up with a formula on z, f, and g that implies the correctness of aggregate.

<u>Hint:</u> You may find useful to use calls to foldLeft(z)(f) in your formula(s).

Question 4

Implement aggregate using the methods map and/or reduce of the collection you are defining aggregate for.

Question 5

Implement aggregate using the task and/or parallel constructs seen in the first week and the Splitter[A] interface seen in this week's videos. The Splitter interface is defined as:

```
trait Splitter[A] extends Iterator[A] {
  def split: Seq[Splitter[A]]
  def remaining: Int
}
```

You can assume that the data structure you are defining aggregate for already implements a splitter method which returns an object of type Splitter[A].

Your implementation of aggregate should work in parallel when the number of remaining elements is above the constant THRESHOLD and sequentially below it.

Hint: Iterator, and thus Splitter, implements the foldLeft method.

Question 6

Discuss the implementations from questions 4 and 5. Which one do you think would be more efficient ?

Exercise 2 : Depth

Review the notion of *depth* seen in the video lectures. What does it represent ?

Below is a formula for the depth of a *divide and conquer* algorithm working on an array segment of size *L*, as a function of *L*. The values *c*, *d* and *T* are constants. We assume that L>0 and T>0.

$$D(L) = \begin{cases} c \cdot L & \text{if } L \leq T \\ max(D(\lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor), D(L - \lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor)) + d & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Below the threshold T, the algorithm proceeds sequentially and takes time c to process each single element. Above the threshold, the algorithm is applied recursively over the two halves of the array. The results are then merged using an operation that takes d units of time.

Question 1

Is it the case that for all $1 \le L_1 \le L_2$ we have $D(L_1) \le D(L_2)$?

If it is the case, prove the property by induction on L. If it is not the case, give a counterexample showing values of L_1 , L_2 , T, c, and d for which the property does not hold.

Question 2

Prove a logarithmic upper bound on D(L). That is, prove that D(L) is in $O(\log L)$ by finding specific constants a, b such that $D(L) \le a \log_2 L + b$.

<u>Hint:</u> The proof is more complex that it might seem. One way to make it more manageable is to define and use a function D'(L) that has the property described in question 1, and is greater or equal to D(L). We suggest you use:

$$D'(L) = \begin{cases} c \cdot L & \text{if } L \leq T \\ max(D'(\lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor), D'(L - \lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor)) + d + \underline{c \cdot T} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also remark that computing D'(L) when L is a power of 2 is easy. Also remember that there always exists a power of 2 between any positive integer and its double.