

Conversion to Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

Steps: (not in the optimal order)

- remove unproductive symbols
- remove unreachable symbols
- remove epsilons (no non-start nullable symbols)
- remove single non-terminal productions
(unit productions) $X ::= Y$
- reduce arity of every production to less than two
- make terminals occur alone on right-hand side

1) Unproductive non-terminals

What is funny about this grammar:

```
stmt ::= identifier := identifier
      | while (expr) stmt
      | if (expr) stmt else stmt
expr ::= term + term | term - term
term ::= factor * factor
factor ::= ( expr )
```

There is no derivation of a sequence of tokens from `expr`

In every step will have at least one `expr`, `term`, or `factor`

If it cannot derive sequence of tokens we call it *unproductive*

1) Unproductive non-terminals

Productive symbols are obtained using these two rules (what remains is unproductive)

- Terminals are productive
- If $X ::= s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ is a rule and each s_i is productive then X is productive

Delete unproductive symbols.

The language recognized by the grammar will not change

2) Unreachable non-terminals

What is funny about this grammar with start symbol 'program'

program ::= stmt | stmt program

stmt ::= assignment | whileStmt

assignment ::= expr = expr

ifStmt ::= if (expr) stmt else stmt

whileStmt ::= while (expr) stmt

expr ::= identifier

No way to reach symbol 'ifStmt' from 'program'

Can we formulate rules for reachable symbols ?

2) Unreachable non-terminals

Reachable terminals are obtained using the following rules (the rest are unreachable)

- starting non-terminal is reachable (program)

- If $X ::= s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ is rule and X is reachable then

every non-terminal in $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ is reachable

Delete unreachable nonterminals and their productions

3) Removing Empty Strings

Ensure only top-level symbol can be nullable

program ::= stmtSeq

stmtSeq ::= stmt | stmt ; stmtSeq

stmt ::= "" | assignment | whileStmt | blockStmt

blockStmt ::= { stmtSeq }

assignment ::= expr = expr

whileStmt ::= while (expr) stmt

expr ::= identifier

How to do it in this example?

3) Removing Empty Strings - Result

```
program ::= "" | stmtSeq
stmtSeq ::= stmt | stmt ; stmtSeq |
           | ; stmtSeq | stmt ; | ;
stmt ::= assignment | whileStmt | blockStmt
blockStmt ::= { stmtSeq } | { }
assignment ::= expr = expr
whileStmt ::= while (expr) stmt
whileStmt ::= while (expr)
expr ::= identifier
```

3) Removing Empty Strings - Algorithm

$O(2^n)$

3) Removing Empty Strings

- Since `stmtSeq` is nullable, the rule
`blockStmt ::= { stmtSeq }`
gives
`blockStmt ::= { stmtSeq } | { }`
- Since `stmtSeq` and `stmt` are nullable, the rule
`stmtSeq ::= stmt | stmt ; stmtSeq`
gives
`stmtSeq ::= stmt | stmt ; stmtSeq`
`| ; stmtSeq | stmt ; | ;`

4) Eliminating unit productions

- Single production is of the form

$X ::= Y$

where X, Y are non-terminals

$\text{program} ::= \text{stmtSeq}$

$\text{stmtSeq} ::= \text{stmt}$

$\quad \quad \quad | \text{stmt} ; \text{stmtSeq}$

$\text{stmt} ::= \text{assignment} | \text{whileStmt}$

$\text{assignment} ::= \text{expr} = \text{expr}$

$\text{whileStmt} ::= \text{while} (\text{expr}) \text{stmt}$

4) Unit Production Elimination Algorithm

- If there is a unit production
 $X ::= Y$ put an edge (X, Y) into graph
- If there is a path from X to Z in the graph, and there is rule $Z ::= s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ then add rule
 $X ::= s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$

At the end, remove all unit productions.

4) Eliminate unit productions - Result

$\text{program} ::= \text{expr} = \text{expr} \mid \text{while}(\text{expr}) \text{stmt}$
 $\mid \text{stmt} ; \text{stmtSeq}$

$\text{stmtSeq} ::= \text{expr} = \text{expr} \mid \text{while}(\text{expr}) \text{stmt}$
 $\mid \text{stmt} ; \text{stmtSeq}$

$\text{stmt} ::= \text{expr} = \text{expr} \mid \text{while}(\text{expr}) \text{stmt}$

$\text{assignment} ::= \text{expr} = \text{expr}$

$\text{whileStmt} ::= \text{while}(\text{expr}) \text{stmt}$

5) Reducing Arity:

No more than 2 symbols on RHS

$stmt ::= \text{while } (expr) \text{ stmt}$

becomes

$stmt ::= \text{while } stmt_1$

$stmt_1 ::= (stmt_2$

$stmt_2 ::= expr \text{ stmt}_3$

$stmt_3 ::=) \text{ stmt}$

6) A non-terminal for each terminal

$stmt ::= \text{while } (expr) \text{ stmt}$

becomes

$stmt ::= N_{\text{while}} stmt_1$

$stmt_1 ::= N_{(} stmt_2$

$stmt_2 ::= expr \text{ stmt}_3$

$stmt_3 ::= N_{)} \text{ stmt}$

$N_{\text{while}} ::= \text{while}$

$N_{(} ::= ($

$N_{)} ::=)$

Order of steps in conversion to CNF

1. remove unproductive symbols (optional)
 2. remove unreachable symbols (optional)
 3. make terminals occur alone on right-hand side
 4. Reduce arity of every production to ≤ 2
 5. remove epsilons
 6. remove unit productions $X ::= Y$
 7. unproductive symbols
 8. unreachable symbols
- What if we swap the steps 4 and 5 ?
- Potentially exponential blow-up in the # of productions

Ordering of Unreachable / Unproductive symbols

First Unreachable then Unproductive

S := B C | ""
C := D
D := a
R := r

S := B C | ""
C := D
D := a

S := ""
C := D
D := a

First Unproductive then Unreachable

S := B C | ""
C := D
D := C
R := r

S := ""
C := D
D := a
R := r

S := ""

Alternative to Chomsky form

We need not go all the way to Chomsky form

it is possible to directly parse arbitrary grammar

Key steps: (not in the optimal order)

- reduce arity of every production to less than two
(otherwise, worse than cubic in string input size)

Can be less efficient in grammar size, but still works

More algorithms for arbitrary grammars are variations:

Earley's parsing algorithm (Earley, CACM 1970)

GLR parsing algorithm (Lang, ICALP 1974, Deterministic

Techniques for Efficient Non-Deterministic Parsers)

GLL algorithm