Computer Language Processing $_{Quiz}$

Thursday, November 29, 2018

Read all questions and ask us for any clarifications as early as possible.

Unless specified, you do not need to justify your answers.

Write your answers using dark permanent pen directly on this exam sheet.

For multiple-choice questions, please circle only the letters corresponding to your choices, not the text of choices themselves.

We will not grade any additional sheets.

Exercise	Points	Points Achieved
1	20	
2	20	
3	20	
4	20	
5	20	
Total	100	

This page intentionally left blank.

Exercise 1: Lexing (20 points)

Question 1.1

Circle all of the regular expressions whose language is **disjoint** from the language of $(a|b)^+c^*$:

A. a*b*c*
B. c⁺
C. (b|c)⁺
D. (a|b)⁺c⁺a⁺

Question 1.2

Consider the lexical analyzer with token classes defined by following regular expressions:

Circle all the correct statements amongst the ones below, assuming the longest match rule is applied:

- B. <=< will be tokenized to LessEq, Less.
- C. <==> will be tokenized to LessEq, Imp.
- D. <> will be tokenized to GREATER, LESS.

Exercise 2: Grammars & Parsing (20 points)

Consider the following grammar:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle Object \rangle :::= `\{` \langle ObjectRest \rangle \\ \langle ObjectRest \rangle :::= \langle ObjectContent \rangle `\}` | `\{` `\}` \\ \langle ObjectContent \rangle :::= STRING `:` \langle Value \rangle \langle ObjectContentRep \rangle \\ \langle ObjectContentRep \rangle :::= \varepsilon | `,` \langle ObjectContent \rangle \\ \langle Array \rangle :::= `[` \langle ArrayRest \rangle \\ \langle ArrayRest \rangle :::= \langle ArrayContent \rangle `]` | `]` \\ \langle ArrayContent \rangle :::= \langle Value \rangle \langle ArrayContentRep \rangle \\ \langle ArrayContentRep \rangle :::= \varepsilon | `,` \langle ArrayContent \rangle \\ \langle Value \rangle :::= \langle Object \rangle | \langle Array \rangle | STRING | `true` | `false` \\ \end{array}$

Note that we do **not** have an end-of-file marker in the above grammar. Do **not** add one to the grammar. Do **not** assume such an implicit marker is present.

Question 2.1

Which of the following statements are true? Circle all correct answers. Hint: be bold!

- A. STRING is a terminal.
- B. $\langle Object \rangle$ is a terminal.
- C. $\langle ArrayContent \rangle$ is a non-terminal.
- D. 'true' is a terminal.

Question 2.2

Which of the following statements are true? Circle the correct answers.

- A. $\langle ObjectContent \rangle$ is nullable.
- B. $\langle ArrayRest \rangle$ is nullable.
- C. $\langle ArrayContentRep \rangle$ is nullable.
- D. $\langle Value \rangle$ is nullable.

Question 2.3

Which of the following statements are true? Circle the correct answers.

- A. $FIRST(\langle ObjectContent \rangle)$ contains ', '.
- B. First($\langle Object \rangle$) is disjoint from First($\langle Array \rangle$).
- C. FIRST($\langle Value \rangle$) is a subset of FIRST($\langle Array \rangle$).
- D. First($\langle ArrayRest \rangle$) contains '['.

Question 2.4

Which of the following statements are true? Circle the correct answers.

- A. FOLLOW($\langle Object \rangle$) is empty.
- B. Follow($\langle ArrayContent \rangle$) is equal to {']'}.
- C. Follow($\langle ArrayRest \rangle$) contains ', '.
- D. FOLLOW($\langle Value \rangle$) contains '{'.

Question 2.5

Which of the following statements are true? Circle the correct answers.

- A. The grammar is ambiguous.
- B. The grammar is LL(1).
- C. The grammar is in Chomsky normal form.
- D. The language defined by the grammar is non-regular.

Exercise 3: L* Membership-Checking Algorithm (20 points)

Let $L \subseteq A^*$ be a language given by a (terminating) algorithm $fL : A^* \to \{true, false\}$ such that, for all $w \in A^*$,

$$(\mathtt{fL}(w) = true) \iff (w \in L)$$

In other words, fL checks whether a word belongs to L. (We do not have any other information about the language L.)

Let $S = L^*$.

Your goal

Write an algorithm fS using Scala-like notation to check if word belongs to S, that is, $fS : A^* \to \{true, false\}$ such that

$$(\mathtt{fS}(w) = true) \iff (w \in S)$$

Your algorithm can inspect the word w as well as apply the function fL on arbitrary words. For full points, your solutions should only invoke fL a polynomial (in the size of the input word) number of times. You may use any auxiliary data structures from Scala library. For your convenience, a small API for List and Array follows.

\mathbf{API}

Methods of List[A]

- def apply(n: Int): A: Returns the nth element of this list.
- def take(n: Int): List[A]: Returns first n elements of this list.
- def drop(n: Int): List[A]: Returns a copy of this list with the first n elements dropped.
- def splitAt(n: Int): (List[A], List[A]): Returns the pair (this.take(n), this.drop(n)).

Methods of Array[A]

- def apply(n: Int): A: Returns the nth element of this array.
- def update(n: Int, x: A): Unit: Updates the nth element of this array. Modifies the array.

Methods of the Array companion object

- Array.tabulate[A] (n: Int) (f: Int => A): Returns a new one-dimensional array of size n, initially populated by f.
- Array.tabulate[A](n1: Int, n2: Int)(f: (Int, Int) => A): Array[Array[A]]: Returns a new two-dimensional array of size n1 × n2, initially populated by f.
- Array.ofDim[A] (n: Int): Array[A]: Returns a new one-dimensional array of size n.
- Array.ofDim[A](n1: Int, n2: Int): Array[Array[A]]: Returns a new two-dimensional array of size n1 × n2.

```
def fS[A](fL: List[A] => Boolean, w: List[A]): Boolean = {
  val n = w.size
  if (n == 0) {
    return true
  }
  // Make an array for every start position and length that will
  // record if the subsequence is a sentence of words from fL.
  val isSentence = Array.tabulate[Boolean](n, n + 1) { (i: Int, j: Int) =>
    // We initially only add single words to the array.
    fL(w.drop(i).take(j))
  }
  // Then, we populate the array by combining smaller subsequences into larger ones.
  for (j <- 2 \text{ to } n) \{ // j \text{ is the length of the subsequence.} \}
    for (i \le 0 \text{ to } (n - j)) \{ // i \text{ is the index of the beginning of the subsequence.} \}
      for (k \le 1 \text{ to } (j - 1)) \{ // k \text{ is the length of the first half.} \}
        if (isSentence(i)(k) && isSentence(i+k)(j-k)) {
          isSentence(i)(j) = true
        }
      }
   }
  }
  // Check if the entire input is a sentence.
  isSentence(0)(n)
}
```

Or, even better:

```
def fS[A](fL: List[A] => Boolean, w: List[A]): Boolean = {
 val n = w.size
 // The following array contains, for every index,
  // true if we are allowed to start a word there.
  val isStartPos = Array.tabulate[Boolean](n + 1)(_ == 0)
 for (i <- 0 until n) { // i is the index of the beginning of the word.
    if (isStartPos(i)) { // Check if we can start from i.
     for (j <- (i + 1) to n) { // j is the index just after the word.
       val candidate = w.drop(i).take(j - i) // Get the candidate word.
        if (fL(candidate)) { // Check if it is accepted by fL.
          // If it is the case, we record that we can start a word here.
         isStartPos(j) = true
       }
     }
   }
 }
  // Check if we can start right after the given input.
 isStartPos(n)
}
```

Exercise 4: Type Checking and Inference (20 points)

Consider the following typing rules for a simple language with integers, pairs and functions:

$$\frac{n \text{ is an integer literal}}{\Gamma \vdash n: \texttt{Int}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2: \texttt{Int}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 * e_2: \texttt{Int}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2): (T_1, T_2)} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: (T_1, T_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{fst}(e): T_1} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e: (T_1, T_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{snd}(e): T_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \oplus \{(x,T_1)\} \vdash e: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash x \Rightarrow e: T_1 \Rightarrow T_2} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: T_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1(e_2): T_2} \qquad \qquad \frac{(x,T) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: T}$$

Question 4.1

Consider the following type derivation, with type variables $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_5$, where $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma = \{(x, \mathbf{T}_2)\}$:

Circle all the correct answers:

- A. There are no assignments of T_1, \ldots, T_5 such that the resulting derivation is valid.
- B. In all valid derivations, \mathbf{T}_3 is equal to \mathbf{T}_5 .
- C. There does not exist valid derivations where T_1 is Int.
- D. In all valid derivations, T_2 is equal to (T_4, T_5) .
- E. In all valid derivations, $\mathbf{T_3}$ is equal to $\mathbf{T_2} \Rightarrow \mathbf{T_1}.$

Question 4.2

For which of the following expressions does type inference using unification succeed? Circle the correct answers.

A.
$$\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow (\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$$

B. $x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow (x(y) + y(x)))$
C. $\mathbf{f} \Rightarrow (\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})))$
D. $f \Rightarrow (f(x \Rightarrow 4) + f(5))$

This page intentionally left blank.

Exercise 5: Designing a Type System (20 points)

Consider an expression language with a halving operator on even numbers. We are designing an operational semantics and a type system that ensures that we never half an odd number.

 $\langle Expr \rangle ::= half(\langle Expr \rangle) | \langle Expr \rangle + \langle Expr \rangle | INTEGER$

The values of our language are all integers. We denote values by n and k.

Question 5.1

In this first question, we will design the operational semantics of our language. Semantics should define rule that apply to as many expressions as possible subject to the following constraints:

- Our operational semantics should not permit halving unless the value of an integer constant is even
- It should only perform evaluation of operands from left to right

Circle a **minimal** set of operational semantics rules that describe this behavior.

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{e \rightsquigarrow e'} \\ \hline \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow e' \\ (A) \text{ NO} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} n \text{ is a value} & n = 2k \\ \hline \mathsf{half}(n) \rightsquigarrow k \\ (B) \text{ YES} \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} n \text{ is a value} \\ \hline \mathsf{half}(n) \rightsquigarrow \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \\ (C) \text{ NO} \end{array}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\mathsf{half}(e)} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e') \\ \hline \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow e' \\ (D) \text{ NO} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} e \rightsquigarrow e' \\ \hline \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e') \\ (E) \text{ YES} \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} e' \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e) \\ \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e) \\ (E) \text{ YES} \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} e' \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e) \\ \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e) \\ (F) \text{ NO} \end{array}} \\ \begin{array}{c} e \rightsquigarrow e' \\ (F) \text{ NO} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} e \rightsquigarrow e' \\ \mathsf{half}(e) \implies \mathsf{half}(e') \\ (E) \text{ YES} \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} e \rightsquigarrow e' \\ \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{half}(e) \\ \mathsf{half}(e) \rightsquigarrow e' \\ (F) \text{ NO} \end{array}} \end{array}$$

 $\frac{n_1 \text{ is a value } n_2 \text{ is a value } k = n_1 + n_2 \quad n_1 \text{ is odd } n_2 \text{ is odd}}{n_1 + n_2 \rightsquigarrow k} \qquad \qquad \frac{e \rightsquigarrow e' \quad n \text{ is a value}}{n + e \rightsquigarrow n + e'}$ (G) NO
(H) YES

$e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_2'$	n_1 is a value n_2 is a value $k = n_1 + n_2$ n_1 is even n_2	$_2$ is even	
$e_1 + e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_1 + e'_2$	$ \qquad \qquad$		
(I) NO	(J) NO		

n_1 is a value n_2 is a value	$k = n_1 + n_2$	$e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_1'$
$n_1 + n_2 \rightsquigarrow k$		$e_1 + e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_1' + e_2$
(K) YES		(L) YES

Question 5.2

In this second part, we will design a type system for our language. The following expressions should type check:

4 + 5half(2 + 4) half(2) + 2 half(half(2) + half(2))

Circle a subset of the following rules that form a *sound* type system for our language: if a program type checks, then it must evaluate to a constant using the rules of operational semantics in the previous part.

Make sure that the above expressions can be typed. Do not include rules that are redundant with other rules you circled: if removing a rule does not decrease the set of programs that type check, then remove the rule.

$\Gamma dash n$: Even	$\Gamma dash n$: Integer	$\Gamma \vdash n: \mathtt{Even}$	
$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{half}(n) : \mathtt{Even}}$	$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{half}(n) : \mathtt{Integer}}$	$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{half}(n) : \mathtt{Integer}}$	
(A) NO	(B) NO	(C) YES	
n is an integer literal n is even		n is an integer literal n is odd	
$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash n : \texttt{Even} \\ (\texttt{D}) \text{ YES} \end{array}$		$\Gamma \vdash n: \texttt{Integer}$ (E) YES	
$\Gamma \vdash n: \texttt{Even}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n: \texttt{Integer}}{}$		
$\Gamma \vdash n : \texttt{Integer}$	$\Gamma dash n$: Even	$\Gamma \vdash e_1$ + e_2 : Integer	
(F) YES	(G) NO	(H) NO	
$\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Integer} \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Integer}$	$\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Integer} \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Even}$	$\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Integer} \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Even}$	
$\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \texttt{Integer}$	$\Gamma \vdash e_1$ + e_2 : Even	$\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \texttt{Integer}$	
(I) YES	(J) NO	(K) NO	
$\Gamma dash e_1:$ Even $\Gamma dash e_2:$ Even	$\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{Integer} \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{Integer}$	eger $\Gamma \vdash e:$ Integer	
$\Gamma \vdash e_1$ + e_2 : Even	$\Gamma \vdash e_1$ + e_2 : Even	$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e + e: \texttt{Even}}$	
(L) YES	(M) NO	(N) YES	