#### CS-320

### **Computer Language Processing**

Exercise Session 4

November 1, 2017

#### Overview

Today you will get some more practice in understanding and designing type systems:

- Exploring a typing derivation in Amyrli
- ► Amy's pattern matching rule
- ► A type system for physical units

#### Recap: Type-checking a simple program

Consider the Amy-like language of arithmetic, logical connectives and if expressions from the lecture:

$$t:=true \mid false \mid c_l \mid f(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \mid \mathbf{if} \ (t) \ t_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ t_2$$
 where  $c_l$  denotes integer literals.

We also saw some of its typing rules, for instance:

IF-THEN-ELSE 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : Bool \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{if} \ (b) \ t_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ t_2) : \tau}$$
:

# Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program

 □ Given the type system we saw for this language, type-check and show the typing derivations for the following program:

$$p_{fun}=(e,fun(2))$$
 where  $e(fun)=(n,Int,$  if  $(n \le 1)$  1 else  $n*fun(n),Int)$ 

### Recap: When do we say a program type-checks?

Given initial program (e, t) define

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ (f, \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \to \tau_0) \mid (f, \underline{\hspace{0.3cm}}, (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n), t_f, \tau_0) \in e \}$$

We say program type checks iff:

(1) the top-level expression type checks:

$$\Gamma_0 \vdash t : \tau$$

and

(2) each function body type checks:

$$\Gamma_0 \oplus \{(x_1, \tau_1), \ldots, (x_n, \tau_n)\} \vdash t_f : \tau_0$$

for each  $(f, (x_1, ..., x_n), (\tau_1, ..., \tau_n), t_f, \tau_0) \in e$ .

# Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program Exercise 1 (solution)

```
\Rightarrow We have to check whether a) \Gamma_0 \vdash \mathit{fun}(2) : T for some type T, and b) \Gamma_0' \vdash \mathsf{if} \ (n \leq 1) \ 1 \ \mathsf{else} \ n * \mathit{fun}(n) : \mathit{Int} where \Gamma_0 = \{ \ldots (\mathit{builtins}), (\mathit{fun}, \mathit{Int} \Rightarrow \mathit{Int}) \} and \Gamma_0' = \Gamma_0 \oplus \{ (n, \mathit{Int}) \}.
```

Typing derivation for  $\Gamma_0 \vdash fun(2) : T$ :

$$\frac{(\mathit{fun}, \mathit{Int} \Rightarrow \mathit{Int}) \in \Gamma_0}{\Gamma_0 \vdash \mathit{fun} : \mathit{Int} \Rightarrow \mathit{Int}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma_0 \vdash 2 : \mathit{Int}}{\Gamma_0 \vdash \mathit{fun}(2) : \mathit{Int}}$$

# Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program Exercise 1 (solution)

Typing derivation for  $\Gamma_0' \vdash \mathbf{if} \ (n \le 1) \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ n * \mathit{fun}(n) : \mathit{Int} \ \mathsf{where} \ \Gamma_0' = \Gamma_0 \oplus \{(n,\mathit{Int})\}:$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma'_0 \vdash n \leq 1 : Bool}{\Gamma'_0 \vdash \text{if } (n \leq 1) \text{ 1 else } n * fun(n) : Int}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma'_0 \vdash \text{if } (n \leq 1) \text{ 1 else } n * fun(n) : Int}{\Gamma'_0 \vdash \text{int}}$$

## Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program

Exercise 1 (solution)

Typing derivation for  $\Gamma_0' \vdash n \leq 1$ : Bool.

$$\frac{(\leq, (Int \times Int) \Rightarrow Bool) \in \Gamma'_0}{\Gamma'_0 \vdash \leq : (Int \times Int) \Rightarrow Bool} \qquad \frac{(n, Int) \in \Gamma'_0}{\Gamma'_0 \vdash n : Int} \qquad \frac{\Gamma'_0 \vdash 1 : Int}{\Gamma'_0 \vdash n \leq 1 : Bool}$$

### Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program

Exercise 1 (solution)

Typing derivation for  $\Gamma_0' \vdash n * fun(n) : Int$ .

$$\underbrace{ (*, (Int \times Int) \Rightarrow Int) \in \Gamma'_0 }_{ \Gamma'_0 \vdash * : (Int \times Int) \Rightarrow Int } \qquad \underbrace{ (n, Int) \in \Gamma'_0 }_{ \Gamma'_0 \vdash n : Int } \qquad \underbrace{ (fun, Int \Rightarrow Int) \in \Gamma'_0 }_{ \Gamma'_0 \vdash fun : Int \Rightarrow Int } \qquad \underbrace{ (n, Int) \in \Gamma'_0 }_{ \Gamma'_0 \vdash n : Int }$$

 $\Gamma_0' \vdash n * fun(n) : Int$ 

# Finding a typing-derivation for a simple program Exercise 1

▶ We have shown that the program type-checks, but did you notice any other problem with it?

We have seen a typing rule for if expressions, but how can we type more advanced control constructs like pattern matches? Let's see a corresponding rule for the Amy language:

PATTERN MATCHING

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \vdash e : T_s \\ \hline \forall i \in [1,n]. & \Gamma \vdash p_i : T_s \rhd \Gamma_{p_i} & \Gamma \oplus \Gamma_{p_i} \vdash e_i : T_c \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e \text{ match } \{ \text{ case } p_1 \implies e_1 \dots \text{ case } p_n \implies e_n \} : T_c \end{array}$$

Note that we use auxiliary extraction judgments of the form

$$\Gamma \vdash p : T \rhd \Gamma_p$$



We have seen a typing rule for if expressions, but how can we type more advanced control constructs like pattern matches? Let's see a corresponding rule for the Amy language:

PATTERN MATCHING

Note that we use auxiliary extraction judgments of the form

$$\Gamma \vdash p : T \rhd \Gamma_p$$



We have seen a typing rule for if expressions, but how can we type more advanced control constructs like pattern matches? Let's see a corresponding rule for the Amy language:

PATTERN MATCHING

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \vdash e : T_s \\ \hline \forall i \in [1,n]. & \Gamma \vdash p_i : T_s \rhd \Gamma_{p_i} & \Gamma \oplus \Gamma_{p_i} \vdash e_i : T_c \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e \text{ match } \{ \text{ case } p_1 \implies e_1 \dots \text{ case } p_n \implies e_n \} : T_c \end{array}$$

Note that we use auxiliary extraction judgments of the form

$$\Gamma \vdash p : T \rhd \Gamma_p$$



We have seen a typing rule for if expressions, but how can we type more advanced control constructs like pattern matches? Let's see a corresponding rule for the Amy language:

PATTERN MATCHING

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \vdash e : T_s \\ \hline \forall i \in [1,n]. & \Gamma \vdash p_i : T_s \rhd \Gamma_{p_i} & \Gamma \oplus \Gamma_{p_i} \vdash e_i : T_c \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e \text{ match } \{ \text{ case } p_1 \implies e_1 \dots \text{ case } p_n \implies e_n \} : T_c \end{array}$$

Note that we use auxiliary extraction judgments of the form

$$\Gamma \vdash p : T \rhd \Gamma_p$$



We define the following extraction rules for patterns:

WILDCARD PATTERN

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \_ : T \rhd \varnothing} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \vdash v : T \rhd \{(v, T)\}}$$
CASE CLASS PATTERN
$$\Gamma \vdash p_1 : T_1 \rhd \Gamma_{p_1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash p_n : T_n \rhd \Gamma_{p_n}$$

$$\underline{\Gamma \vdash C : (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash C(p_1, \ldots, p_n) : T \rhd \Gamma_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{p_n}}$$

# Type-checking pattern matching expressions Exercise 2

▶ Find a typing derivation for the body of function *len* in the following program:

```
abstract class List
case class Nil() extends List
case class Cons(x: Int, xs: List) extends List

def len(xs: List): Int = xs match {
  case Nil() ⇒ 0
  case Cons(_, rest) ⇒ len(rest) + 1
}
```

Exercise 3

Consider the following language of integral additions, multiplications, divisions:

$$t := c_R \mid \mathsf{m} \mid \mathsf{s} \mid t + t \mid t \cdot t \mid t \mid t \mid \mathsf{t} \mid \mathsf{sqrt}(t)$$

$$T := \mathbf{1} \mid \mathsf{meter} \mid \mathsf{second} \mid T * T \mid T^{-1}$$

where  $c_R$  denotes a real literal and m, s are used to introduce meters and seconds as units.

For instance:

$$3: \mathbf{1}$$
  $4 \cdot m : meter$   $3 \cdot m/s : meter * second^{-1}$ 

Exercise 3

Note that  $(meter * second * meter^{-1})$  and (second) are not syntactically equivalent!

⇒ We will implicitly *normalize* our types and use a shorthand:

Dim 
$$m$$
  $n \equiv 1 * meter^m * second^n$ 

For instance:

Exercise 3a

Design typing rules that track the units of expressions and only permit adding expressions of the same unit. Furthermore, make sure that sqrt will only accept square meters.

ho Write a function dist : (meter \* second<sup>-1</sup> × second)  $\Rightarrow$  meter and show its typing derivation.

Exercise 3a (solution)

T-LIT T-MET T-SEC

$$\frac{\vdash c_R : \mathbf{1}}{\vdash c_R : \mathbf{1}} \qquad \frac{\vdash m : meter}{\vdash m : meter} \qquad \frac{\vdash s : second}{\vdash s : second}$$
T-ADD 
$$\frac{\vdash t_1 : \text{Dim } m n}{\vdash t_1 + t_2 : \text{Dim } m n}$$
T-MUL 
$$\frac{\vdash t_1 : \text{Dim } m_1 \ n_1}{\vdash t_1 \cdot t_2 : \text{Dim } (m_1 + m_2) \ (n_1 + n_2)}$$
T-DIV 
$$\frac{\vdash t_1 : \text{Dim } m_1 \ n_1}{\vdash t_1 / t_2 : \text{Dim } (m_1 - m_2) \ (n_1 - n_2)}$$

Exercise 3b

 Using your typing rules, find a typing derivation for the following (top-level) expression:

$$\mathsf{sqrt}(\mathsf{m}\cdot \mathsf{4}\cdot \mathsf{m}) + 1/\mathsf{s}\cdot \mathsf{10}\cdot \mathsf{m}\cdot \mathsf{s}$$

Exercise 3b

 Using your typing rules, find a typing derivation for the following (top-level) expression:

$$\operatorname{sqrt}((m \cdot 4) \cdot m) + (((1/s) \cdot 10) \cdot m) \cdot s$$