Exercises on Grammars

- 1. Consider the following grammar:
- S -> (L) | a
- L->L,S|S
- Is this grammar ambiguous ?
- Is this grammar LL(1) ?
- Compute the First and Follow sets for the new grammar.
- Construct the parsing table for the LL(1) parser

Finding an LL(1) grammar

- No procedural way ! Practice ...
- But there are some recommended practices that generally help in finding one.
- Eg. try to eliminate left recursion.
 - There is a procedure for this but you don't have to faithfully follow the entire approach.
 - Just think of what left recursion brings and what can be done to eliminate them

Removing Left Recursion

- S -> (L) | a L -> L, S | S
- How does a derivation starting from 'L' look ?
- L => L, S
 => L, S, S
 =>* L, S, ..., S
 - => S , ... , S
- L->L,S | S is equivalent to L->S,L | S
 S->(L) | a
 L->S,L | S

Removing Left Recursion

- In general, L -> L $\alpha \mid \beta_1 \mid ... \mid \beta_n$
- L -> $\beta_1 Z \mid ... \mid \beta_n Z$
- Z -> α Z | ε
- This will remove immediate recursion
- But, what if

S -> L a L -> S a | b

Removing Left Recursion

- Order nonterminals Eg. (1) S, (2) L
- Enforce that if A -> B then A should precede B in the ordering
- S -> L a and L -> b satisfy the constraint but L -> S a doesn't
- Inline the production of S in L -> S a
- L->Laa
 - Remove left recursion. Result ??
 - If this wasn't left recursive or doesn't satisfy the constraints, inline again.

Example 1 [Cont.]

S -> (L) | a L -> L , S | S

After eliminating left recursion
S -> (L) | a
L -> S, L | S

• Is this LL(1) now ?

Example 1 [Cont.]

S -> (L) | a L -> L , S | S

After eliminating left recursion
S -> (L) | a
L -> S, L | S

• Is this LL(1) now ?

Left factorization

- S -> (L) | a L -> S , L | S
- Identify a common prefix and push the suffixes to a new nonterminal.
- S -> (L) | a
- L -> S Z
- Ζ->, L | *ϵ*
- Is this LL(1) now ?

Consider a grammar for expressions where the multiplication sign is optional.

ex ::= ex + ex | ex * ex | ex ex | ID

- Find a LL(1) grammar recognizing the same language
- Using your grammar to derive a string
- Create the LL(1) parsing table.

Balanced Parentheses over { (, [} S ::= (S)| [S] | SS | ϵ

• Find a LL(1) grammar recognizing the language

Prove that every LL(1) grammar is unambiguous.

Say that a grammar has a cycle if there is a *reachable, productive* non-terminal A such that $A \Rightarrow^*A$, i.e. it is possible to derive the nonterminal A from A by a nonempty sequence of production rules.

Show that if a grammar has a cycle, then it is not LL(1).

Show that the regular languages can be recognized with LL(1) parsers. Describe a process that, given a regular expression, constructs an LL(1) parser for it.

• a

- Concatenation: $r_1 r_2$
- Union: $r_1 \mid r_2$
- Closure: r_1^*