Consider a language with the following tokens and token classes:

D ident ::= letter (letter | digit)*
LT ::= "<"
GT ::= ">"
LP ID LT ID LT ID shiftR RP LP ID RP DOT ID
GT ::= ">"
shiftL ::= "<<"
GT GT
GT

applying the longest match rule: (List<List<Int>>)(myL).headhead Note that the input sequence contains no space character

Find a regular expression that generates all alternating sequences of 0 and 1 with arbitrary length (including lengths zero, one, two, ...). For example, the alternating sequences of length one are 0 and 1, length two are 01 and 10, length three are 010 and 101. Note that no two adjacent character can be the same in an alternating sequence.

 $O(10)^{*} | 1(01)^{*} | \varepsilon | O(10)^{*}1 | 1(01)^{*}0$ $O(10)^{*} | 1(01)^{*}0^{2}$

Construct a DFA for the language of <u>well-nested</u> parenthesis with a maximal nesting depth of 3. For example, ε , ()(), (()(())) and (()())(), but not (((()))) nor (()(()(()))), nor ())). ((()()))



- Find two equivalent states in the automaton, and merge them to produce a smaller automaton that recognizes the same language. Repeat until there are no longer equivalent states.
- Recall that the general algorithm for minimizing finite automata works in reverse. First, find all pairs of inequivalent states. States X, Y are inequivalent if X is final and Y is not, or (by iteration) if and and X' and Y' are inequivalent. After this iteration ceases to find new pairs of inequivalent states, then X, Y are equivalent, if they are not inequivalent.



Let *rtail* be a function that returns all the symbols of a string except the last one. For example, *rtail*(Lexer) = Lexe. *rtail* is undefined for an empty string. If R is a regular expression, then rtail(R) applies the function to all non-empty elements, and removes ε if it is in R. For example, rtail({aba,aaaa,bb, ε }) = {ab,aaa,b} L(rtail(abba|ba*|ab*)) = L(ba*|ab*|\varepsilon)

- Prove that if R is regular, then so is rtail(R)
- Give an algorithm for computing the regular expression for rtail(R) if R is given by a regular expression

Exercise 6: Grammar Equivalence

Show that each string that can be derived by grammar ${\rm G}_1$

→ B ::= ε | (B) | B B can also be derived by grammar G₂ B ::= ε | (B) B and vice versa. In other words, L(G₁) = L(G₂)

Remark: there is no algorithm to check for equivalence of *arbitrary* grammars. We must be clever.

Grammars

Ambiguous grammar: if some token sequence has multiple parse trees (then it is has multiple abstract trees)

Two trees, each following the grammar, their leaves both give the same token sequence.

Exercise: Another Balanced Parenthesis Grammar

Show that the following balanced parentheses grammar is ambiguous (by finding two parse trees for some input sequence) and find unambiguous grammar for the same language. $B ::= \varepsilon | (B) | B B$

Is this grammar ambiguous? B ::= $\epsilon \mid (B) B$

Dangling Else

The dangling-else problem happens when the conditional statements are parsed using the following grammar.

S ::= S ; Sif E_2 thenS ::= id := E $x := E_3$ S ::= if E then Selse $y := E_4$ S ::= if E then S else S

Give a sequence of tokens that has two parse trees. Find an unambiguous grammar that accepts the same conditional statements and matches the else statement with the nearest unmatched if.