

# Review: Printing Trees into Bytecodes

To evaluate  $e_1 * e_2$  interpreter

- evaluates  $e_1$
- evaluates  $e_2$
- combines the result using \*

Compiler for  $e_1 * e_2$  emits:

- code for  $e_1$  that leaves result on the stack, followed by
- code for  $e_2$  that leaves result on the stack, followed by
- arithmetic instruction that takes values from the stack and leaves the result on the stack

```
def compile(e : Expr) : List[Bytecode] = e match { // ~ postfix printer
  case Var(id) => List(ILoad(slotFor(id)))
  case Plus(e1,e2) => compile(e1) ::: compile(e2) ::: List(IAdd())
  case Times(e1,e2) => compile(e1) ::: compile(e2) ::: List(IMul())
  ...
}
```

# Shorthand Notation for Translation

$[ e_1 + e_2 ] =$

$[ e_1 ]$

$[ e_2 ]$

**iadd**

$[ e_1 * e_2 ] =$

$[ e_1 ]$

$[ e_2 ]$

**imul**

# Code Generation for Control Structures

# Sequential Composition

How to compile statement sequence?

s1; s2; ... ; sN

- Concatenate byte codes for each statement!

```
def compileStmt(e : Stmt) : List[Bytecode] = e match {  
    ...  
    case Sequence(sts) =>  
        for { st <- sts; bcode <- compileStmt(st) }  
            yield bcode  
    }  
}
```

i.e.                   sts **flatMap** compileStmt

semantically:       (sts **map** compileStmt) **flatten**

# Compiling Control: Example

```
static void count(int from,  
                 int to,  
                 int step) {  
  
    int counter = from;  
  
    while (counter < to) {  
        counter = counter + step;  
    }  
}
```

|     |                     |
|-----|---------------------|
| 0:  | <b>iload_0</b>      |
| 1:  | <b>istore_3</b>     |
| 2:  | <b>iload_3</b>      |
| 3:  | <b>iload_1</b>      |
| 4:  | <b>if_icmpge</b> 14 |
| 7:  | <b>iload_3</b>      |
| 8:  | <b>iload_2</b>      |
| 9:  | <b>iadd</b>         |
| 10: | <b>istore_3</b>     |
| 11: | <b>goto 2</b>       |
| 14: | <b>return</b>       |

We need to see how to:

- translate boolean expressions
- generate jumps for control

# Representing Booleans

Java bytecode verifier does not make hard distinction between booleans and ints

- can pass one as another in some cases if we hack .class files

As when compiling to assembly, we need to choose how to represent truth values

We adopt a **convention** in our code generation for JVM:

The generated code uses 'int' to represent boolean values in:  
**local variables, parameters, and intermediate stack values.**

In such cases, the code ensures that these int variables always either

- **0**, representing false
- **1**, representing true

# Truth Values for Relations: Example

```
static boolean test(int x, int y){          0: iload_0
    return (x < y);                      1: iload_1
}                                         2: if_icmpge   9
                                         5: iconst_1
                                         6: goto   10
                                         9: iconst_0
                                         10: ireturn
```

# **if\_icmpge** instruction from spec

## **if\_icmp<cond>**

Branch if int comparison succeeds

format: **if\_icmp<cond>**  
branchbyte1  
branchbyte2

**if\_icmpeq** = 159 (0x9f)

**if\_icmpne** = 160 (0xa0)

**if\_icmplt** = 161 (0xa1)

**if\_icmpge** = 162 (0xa2)

**if\_icmpgt** = 163 (0xa3)

**if\_icmple** = 164 (0xa4)

Operand Stack:

..., value1, value2 → ...

Both value1 and value2 must be of type int.

They are both popped from the operand stack and compared. All comparisons are signed.

The results of the comparison are as follows:

**if\_icmpeq** succeeds if and only if value1 = value2  
**if\_icmpne** succeeds if and only if value1 ≠ value2  
**if\_icmplt** succeeds if and only if value1 < value2  
**if\_icmple** succeeds if and only if value1 ≤ value2  
**if\_icmpgt** succeeds if and only if value1 > value2  
**if\_icmpge** succeeds if and only if value1 ≥ value2

If the comparison succeeds, the unsigned branchbyte1 and branchbyte2 are used to construct a signed 16-bit offset, where the offset is calculated to be (branchbyte1 << 8) | branchbyte2. Execution then proceeds at that offset from the address of the opcode of this **if\_icmp<cond>** instruction. The target address must be that of an opcode of an instruction within the method that contains this **if\_icmp<cond>** instruction.

Otherwise, execution proceeds at the address of the instruction following this **if\_icmp<cond>** instruction.

# Compiling Relational Expressions

```
def compile(e : Expr) : List[Bytecode] = e match { ...
  case Times(e1,e2) => compile(e1) :: compile(e2) :: List(IMul())
  case Comparison(e1, op, e2) => {
    val nFalse = getFreshLabel(); val nAfter = getFreshLabel()
    compile(e1)
    ::compile(e2)
    ::List(if_icmp_instruction(converse(op), nFalse),
          IConst1,
          goto_instruction(nAfter),
          label(nFalse), IConst0,
          label(nAfter)) // result: 0 or 1 added to stack
  }
}
```

A separate pass resolves labels before emitting class file

is there a **dual** translation?

# ifeq instruction from spec

**if<cond>**

Branch if int comparison with zero succeeds

**if<cond>**

        branchbyte1

        branchbyte2

**ifeq** = 153 (0x99)

**ifne** = 154 (0x9a)

**iflt** = 155 (0x9b)

**ifge** = 156 (0x9c)

**ifgt** = 157 (0x9d)

**ifle** = 158 (0x9e)

Operand Stack

..., value →...

The value must be of type int. It is popped from the operand stack and compared against zero. All comparisons are signed.

The results of the comparisons are as follows:

ifeq succeeds if and only if value = 0

ifne succeeds if and only if value ≠ 0

iflt succeeds if and only if value < 0

ifle succeeds if and only if value ≤ 0

ifgt succeeds if and only if value > 0

ifge succeeds if and only if value ≥ 0

If the comparison succeeds, the unsigned branchbyte1 and branchbyte2 are used to construct a signed 16-bit offset, where the offset is calculated to be (branchbyte1 << 8) | branchbyte2. Execution then proceeds at that offset from the address of the opcode of this if<cond> instruction. The target address must be that of an opcode of an instruction within the method that contains this if<cond> instruction. Otherwise, execution proceeds at the address of the instruction following this if<cond> instruction.

# Compiling If Statement

## using compilation of 0/1 for condition

```
def compileStmt(e : Stmt) : List[Bytecode] = e match { ...  
  case If(cond,tStmt,fStmt) => {  
    val nElse = getFreshLabel(); val nAfter = getFreshLabel()  
    compile(cond)  
    ::::List(lfeq(nElse))  
    ::::compileStmt(tStmt)  
    ::::List(goto(nAfter))  
    ::::List(label(nElse))  
    ::::compileStmt(eStmt)  
    ::::List(label(nAfter))  
  }  
}
```

# Compiling If Statement using compilation of 0/1 for condition

Shorthand math notation for the previous function:

[ if (cond) tStmt **else** eStmt ] =

[ cond ]  
**lfeq(nElse)**  
[ tStmt ]  
**goto(nAfter)**

**nElse**: [ eStmt ]

**nAfter**:

# Compiling While Statement using compilation of 0/1 for condition

[ while (cond) stmt ] =

**nStart:** [ cond ]

**Ifeq(nExit)**

  [ stmt ]

**goto(nStart)**

**nExit:**

give a translation with only one jump during loop

# LOOP with EXIT IF

Oberon-2 has a statement

**LOOP**

code1

**EXIT IF cond**

code2

**END**

which executes a loop and exits when the condition is met. This generalizes 'while' and 'do ... while'

Give a translation scheme for the LOOP construct.

Apply the translation to

$j = i$

**LOOP**

$j = j + 1$

**EXIT IF  $j > 10$**

$s = s + j$

**END**

$z = s + j - i$

# solution

[ LOOP

code1

**EXIT IF cond**

code2

**END ] =**

# **while** loop: example

```
static boolean condition(int n)
{ ... }

static void work(int n) { ... }

static void test() {
    int n = 100;
    while (condition(n)) {
        n = n - 11;
        work(n);
    }
}
```

```
0: bipush 100
2: istore_0
3: iload_0
4: invokestatic #4;// condition:(I)Z
7: ifeq 22
10: iload_0
11: bipush 11
13: isub
14: istore_0
15: iload_0
16: invokestatic #5; work:(I)V
19: goto 3
22: return
```

# Bitwise Operations

10110  
& 11011  
= 10010

10110  
| 11011  
= 11111

- In contrast, `&&` `||` operations only evaluate their second operand if necessary!
- We must compile this correctly. It is not acceptable to emit code that always evaluates both operands of `&&`, `||`

These operations always evaluate both arguments.

# What does this program do?

```
static boolean bigFraction(int x, int y) {  
    return ((y==0) | (x/y > 100));  
}
```



should be ||

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    boolean is = bigFraction(10,0);  
}
```

Exception in thread "main" java.lang.ArithmetricException: / by zero  
at Test.bigFraction(Test.java:4)  
at Test.main(Test.java:19)

# What does this function do?

```
static int iterate() {  
    int[] a = new int[10];  
    int i = 0;  
    int res = 0;  
    while ((i < a.length) & (a[i] >= 0)) {  
        i = i + 1;  
        res = res + 1;  
    }  
    return res;  
}
```

should be **&&**

Exception in thread "main" java.lang.ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException: 10  
at Test.iterate(Test.java:16)  
at Test.main(Test.java:25)

# Compiling Bitwise Operations - Easy

$[ e_1 \& e_2 ] =$

$[ e_1 ]$

$[ e_2 ]$

iand

$[ e_1 | e_2 ] =$

$[ e_1 ]$

$[ e_2 ]$

ior

~~$[ e_1 \&& e_2 ] =$~~

~~$[ e_1 ]$~~

~~$[ e_2 ]$~~

~~...~~

not allowed to evaluate  $e_2$  if  $e_1$  is **false**!  
Also for  $(e1 || e2)$ : if  $e1$  **true**,  $e2$  not evaluated

# Conditional Expression

Scala:

**if (c) t else e**

Java, C:

**c ? t : e**

- Meaning of **&&**, **||**:

**(p && q) ==  
if (p) q else false**

Meaning:

- c is evaluated
- if c is true, then t is evaluated and returned
- if c is false, then e is evaluated and returned

**(p || q) ==  
if (p) true else q**

- To compile **||**, **&&** transform them into ‘if expression’

# Compiling If Expression

- Same as for if statement, even though code for branches will leave values on the stack:

[ if (cond) t else e ] =

[ cond ]  
lfeq(nElse)  
[ t ]  
goto(**nAfter**)

**nElse**: [ e ]

**nAfter**:

# Java Example

```
int f(boolean c, int x, int y) {          0: iload_1
    return (c ? x : y);                  1: ifeq   8
}                                         4: iload_2
                                         5: goto   9
                                         8: iload_3
                                         9: ireturn
```

# Compiling &&

[ if (cond) t else e ] =

[ cond ]  
lfeq(nElse)  
[ t ]  
goto(nAfter)

nElse: [ e ]

nAfter:

[ p && q ] =  
[ if (p) q else false ] =

[ p ]  
lfeq(nElse)  
[ q ]  
goto(nAfter)

nElse: iconst\_0

nAfter:

# Compiling ||

[ if (cond) t else e ] =

[ cond ]  
lfeq(nElse)  
[ t ]  
goto(**nAfter**)

**nElse**: [ e ]

**nAfter**:

[ p || q ] =  
[ if (p) true else q ] =

[ p ]  
lfeq(nElse)  
iconst\_1  
goto(**nAfter**)

**nElse**: [ q ]

**nAfter**:

# true, false, variables

[ true ] = **iconst\_1** for boolean variable b, for which  $n = \text{slot}(b)$

[ false ] = **iconst\_0** [ b ] = **iload\_n**

[ b = e ] = (assignment)  
[ e ]  
**istore\_n**

# Example: triple &&

Let x,y,z be in slots 1,2,3

Show code for assignment

$y = (x \&\& y) \&\& z$

Does the sequence differ  
for assignment

$y = x \&\& (y \&\& z)$

iload\_1  
ifeq n1  
iload\_2  
goto n2  
  
n1:  iconst\_0  
n2:  ifeq n3  
      iload\_3  
      goto n4  
n3:  iconst\_0  
n4:

# Cool Alternative: Compiling by Tree Rewriting

```

static int k = 0;
static boolean action(int si,
                      boolean ob,
                      int sm, int pr) {
    if (sm + 2*pr > 10 &&
        !(si <= 5 && ob)) {
        k++;
        return true;
    } else {
        return false;
    }
}

```

### Compared to our current translation:

if 'sm+2\*pr > 10' false, immediately ireturns  
 if 'si > 5' is true, immediately goes to 'then' part  
 no intermediate result for if condition - do  
 branches directly  
 negation sign eliminated and pushed through  
 only one **iconst\_0** and one **iconst\_1**

# Code Compiled with javac

```

0:  iload_2
1:  iconst_2
2:  iload_3
3:  imul
4:  iadd
5:  bipush 10
7:  if_icmple 29
10: iload_0
11: iconst_5
12: if_icmpgt 19
15: iload_1
16: ifne 29
19: getstatic #2; //Field k
22: iconst_1
23: iadd
24: putstatic #2; //Field k
27: iconst_1
28: ireturn
29: iconst_0
30: ireturn

```

# Solution: macro ‘branch’ instruction

Introduce an imaginary big instruction

**branch(c,nThen,nElse)**

Here

**c** is a potentially complex Java boolean expression

**nThen** is label to jump to when c evaluates to true

**nFalse** is label to jump to when c evaluates to false

We show how to:

- use **branch** to compile if, while, etc.
- expand **branch** recursively into concrete bytecodes

# Using **branch** in Compilation

```
[ if (c) t else e ] =  
    branch(c,nThen,nElse)  
nThen: [ t ]  
    goto nAfter  
nElse: [ e ]  
nAfter:
```

```
[ while (c) s ] =  
|Begin: branch(c,start,|Exit)  
start:  [ s ]  
        goto |Begin  
|Exit:
```

# Decomposing branch

branch(**!c**,nThen,nElse) =  
    branch(c,nElse,nThen)

branch(**c1 && c2**,nThen,nElse) =  
    branch(c1,nNext,nElse)

nNext:branch(c2,nThen,nElse)

branch(**c1 || c2**,nThen,nElse) =  
    branch(c1,nThen,nNext)

nNext:branch(c2,nThen,nElse)

branch(**true**,nThen,nElse) =  
    goto nThen

branch(**false**,nThen,nElse) =  
    goto nElse

boolean var b with slot N

branch(b,nThen,nElse) =  
**iload\_N**  
**ifeq** nElse  
**goto** nThen

# Compiling Relations

branch( $e_1 \mathbf{R} e_2, n_{\text{Then}}, n_{\text{Else}}$ ) =

[  $e_1$  ]

[  $e_2$  ]

**if\_cmpR**  $n_{\text{Then}}$

**goto**  $n_{\text{Else}}$

# Putting boolean variable on the stack

Consider storing                     $x = c$

where  $x, c$  are boolean and  $c$  has  $\&&$ ,  $\|$

How to put result of **branch** on stack to allow istore?

$[c] =$

**branch( $c, nThen, nElse$ )**

$nThen: \text{iconst\_1}$

**goto**  $nAfter$

$nElse: \text{iconst\_0}$

$nAfter:$

# Compare Two [...] on This While Loop

```
static void count(int from,  
                  int to,  
                  int step) {  
  
    int counter = from;  
  
    while (counter < to) {  
  
        counter = counter + step;  
  
    }  
}
```



# Exercise: break statement

A common way to exit from a loop is to use a 'break' statement e.g.

```
while (true) {  
    code1  
    if (cond) break  
    cond2  
}
```

Consider a language that has expressions, assignments, the {...} blocks, 'if' statements, while, and a 'break' statement. The 'break' exits the innermost loop and can appear inside arbitrarily complex blocks and if conditions. How would translation scheme for such construct look like?

# Destination Parameters in Compilation

- To compilation functions [...] pass the label to which instructions should jump when they finish

[ x = e ] dest = // new parameter dest

[ e ]

**istore\_slot(x)**

**goto** dest // at the end jump to it

[ s1 ; s2 ] dest brk =

[ s1 ] freshL

freshL: [ s2 ] dest

we could have any junk in between  
because [s1] freshL will do the jump

# More Control, More Destination Parameters

[ s1 ; s2 ] dest brk =

[ s1 ] freshL brk

freshL: [ s2 ] dest brk

[ x = e ] dest brk =

[ e ]

**istore\_slot(x)**

**goto** dest

[ **break** ] dest brk =

**goto** brk

[ **while** (c) s ] dest brk =

test: **branch**(c, body, dest)

body: [ s ] dest dest

this is where the second  
parameter gets bound to  
the exit of the loop