### Abstract Interpretation (Cousot, Cousot 1977) also known as Data-Flow Analysis

# Goal of Data-Flow Analysis

Automatically compute information about the program

- Use it to report errors to user (like type errors)
- Use it to optimize the program

Works on control-flow graphs: (like flow-charts)



int a, b, step, i;
boolean c;
a = 0; Const
b = a + 10;
step = -1;
if (step > 0) {
i = a;
} else {
i = b;
}
c = true;
while (c) {
print(i);
i = i + step; // can emit decrement
if (step > 0) {
c = (i < b);
} else {
c = (i > a); // can emit better instruction here
<pre>} // insert here (a = a + step), redo analysis</pre>
}

### **Constant Propagation**

# Control-Flow Graph: (V,E)

Set of nodes, V

Set of edges, which have statements on them

 $(v_1, st, v_2)$  in E means there is edge from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  labeled with statement st.  $v_9 v_9$ 



# Interpretation and Abstract Interpratation

- Control-Flow graph is similar to AST
- We can
  - interpret control flow graph
  - generate machine code from it (e.g. LLVM, gcc)
  - abstractly interpret it: do not push values, but
     approximately compute supersets of possible values
     (e.g. intervals, types, etc.)



### What we see today

- 1. How to compile abstract syntax trees into control-flow graphs
- 2. Lattices, as structures that describe abstractly sets of program states (facts)
- Transfer functions that describe how to update facts (started)
   Next time:
- 4. Iterative analysis algorithm
- 5. Convergence

# Generating Control-Flow Graphs

- Start with graph that has one entry and one exit node and label is entire program
- Recursively decompose the program to have more edges with simpler labels
- When labels cannot be decomposed further, we are done

### **Flattening Expressions**





Better translation uses the "branch" instruction approach: have two destinations



### While



Better translation uses the "branch" instruction



### Example 1: Convert to CFG

while (i < 10) {
 println(j);
 i = i + 1;
 j = j +2\*i + 1;
}</pre>

### Example 1 Result





### Example 2: Convert to CFG

int i = n; while (i > 1) { println(i); if (i % 2 == 0) { i = i / 2; } else { i = 3\*i + 1;ł

### Example 2 Result

int i = n; while (i > 1) { println(i); if (i % 2 == 0) { i = i / 2; } else { i = 3\*i + 1;



### **Analysis Domains**

# Abstract Intepretation Generalizes Type Inference

#### **Type Inference**

computes types

#### • type rules

- can be used to compute types of expression from subtypes
- types fixed for a variable

#### **Abstract Interpretation**

- computes facts from a domain
  - types
  - intervals
  - formulas
  - set of initialized variables
  - set of live variables
- transfer functions
  - compute facts for one program point from facts at previous program points
- facts change as the values of vars change (*flow-sensitivity*)

### scalac computes types. Try in REPL:

class C

class D extends C

class E extends C

**val** p = false

```
val d = new D()
```

```
val e = new E()
```

```
val z = if (p) d else e
```

```
val u = if (p) (d,e) else (d,d)
val v = if (p) (d,e) else (e,d)
```

```
val f1 = if (p) ((d1:D) => 5) else ((e1:E) => 5)
val f2 = if (p) ((d1:D) => d) else ((e1:E) => e)
```

# Finds "Best Type" for Expression

class C	
---------	--

- class D extends C
- class E extends C

**val** p = false

- **val** d = **new** D()
- val e = new E()
- **val** z = **if** (p) d **else** e

// e:E // z:C

// d:D

**val** u = **if** (p) (d,e) **else** (d,d) // u:(D,C) // v:(C,C) val v = if(p)(d,e) else(e,d)

val f1 = if (p) ((d1:D) => 5) else ((e1:E) => 5) // f1: ((D with E) => Int) **val** f2 = if(p)((d1:D) => d) else((e1:E) => e)

// f2: ((D with E) => C)





# Least Upper Bound (lub, join)

R

A,B,C are all upper bounds on both D and E (they are above each of then in the picture, they are supertypes of D and supertypes of E). Among these upper bounds, C is the least one (the most specific one).

We therefore say C is the least upper bound,

 $C = D \sqcup E$ 

In any partial order  $\leq$ , if S is a set of elements (e.g. S={D,E}) then: U is **upper bound** on S iff  $x \leq U$  for every x in S. U<sub>0</sub> is the **least upper bound (lub)** of S, written U<sub>0</sub> =  $\bigsqcup$ S, or U<sub>0</sub>=lub(S) iff: U<sub>0</sub> is upper bound and if U is any upper bound on S, then U<sub>0</sub>  $\leq$  U

# Greatest Lower Bound (glb, meet)



In any partial order  $\leq$ , if S is a set of elements (e.g. S={D,E}) then: L is **lower bound** on S iff  $L \leq x$  for every x in S. L<sub>0</sub> is the **greatest upper bound (glb)** of S, written L<sub>0</sub> =  $\bigcup$ S, or L<sub>0</sub>=glb(S), iff: m<sub>0</sub> is upper bound and if m is any upper bound on S, then m<sub>0</sub>  $\leq$  m

Computing lub and glb  
for tuple and function types  
$$(\times, , , , ) \sqcup (\times_{2}, , 2) = (\times, \sqcup \times_{2}, , , \sqcup , 2) \\ (\times, , , ) \sqcap (\times_{2}, , 2) = (\times, \sqcap \times_{2}, , , \sqcap , 2) \\ (\times, , , ) \sqcap (\times_{2}, , 2) = (\times, \sqcap , 2, , \sqcap , 2) \\ (\times, , , ) \sqcup (\times_{2} - , 2) = (\times, \sqcap , 2) - (Y_{1} \sqcup , 2) \\ (\times, , , , ) \sqcap (\times_{2} - , 2) = (\times, \amalg , 2) - (Y_{1} \sqcup , 2)$$

# Lattice

**Partial order**: binary relation  $\leq$  (subset of some D<sup>2</sup>) which is

- reflexive:  $x \le x$
- anti-symmetric:  $x \le y \land y \le x \rightarrow x=y$
- transitive:  $x \le y \land y \le z \rightarrow x \le z$

Lattice is a partial order in which every two-element set has lub and glb

 Lemma: if (D, ≤) is lattice and D is finite, then lub and glb exist for every finite set

# Idea of Why Lemma Holds

- $lub(x_1, lub(x_2, ..., lub(x_{n-1}, x_n)))$  is  $lub(\{x_1, ..., x_n\})$
- $glb(x_1,glb(x_2,...,glb(x_{n-1},x_n)))$  is  $glb(\{x_1,...,x_n\})$
- lub of all elements in D is maximum of D
   by definition, glb({}) is the maximum of D
- glb of all elements in D is minimum of D
   by definition, lub({}) is the minimum of D

# **Graphs and Partial Orders**

- If the domain is finite, then partial order can be represented by directed graphs
  - if  $x \le y$  then draw edge from x to y
- For partial order, no need to draw x ≤ z if x ≤ y and y ≤ z. So we only draw non-transitive edges
- Also, because always  $x \leq x$  , we do not draw those self loops
- Note that the resulting graph is acyclic: if we had a cycle, the elements must to be equal

## **Defining Abstract Interpretation**

**Abstract Domain** D (elements are data-flow **facts**), describing which information to compute, e.g.

- inferred types for each variable: x:C, y:D
- interval for each variable x:[a,b], y:[a',b']

**Transfer Functions**, [[**st**]] for each statement **st**, how this statement affects the facts

- Example:  $\begin{bmatrix} x = x+2 \end{bmatrix} (x:[a,b],...) \\
= (x:[a+2,b+2],...) \\
0 x:[a+2,b+2], y:[c,d]$ 



### Find Transfer Function: Plus

Suppose we have only two integer variables: x,y

If  $a \le x \le b$   $c \le y \le d$ and we execute x = x + ythen x' = x + yy' = yso  $\le x' \le$  $\le y' \le$ 

So we can let

$$a'=a+c$$
  $b'=b+d$   
 $c'=c$   $d'=d$ 

### Find Transfer Function: Minus

Suppose we have only two integer variables: x,y

So we can let

$$a'=a$$
  $b'=b$   
 $c'=a-d$   $d'=b-c$